Hak Cipta

Milik Perpustakaan IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon

THE COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN DIRECT METHOD AND TRANSLATION METHOD TOWARD THE STUDENTS' READING ABILITY AT THE SECOND YEAR OF MTs NURUL HUDA MATANGAJI-SUMBER

A THESIS

Submitted to Education Departement of Syekh Nurjati Institute for Islamic Studies in Partial Fullfillment of The requirement for the graduate degree in English Education (S.Pd.I)



Arranged by:

ILHAM PUJA SANTANA Reg. Number. 07439052

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTEMENT OF TARBIYAH FACULTY OF
SYEKH NURJATI THE STATE INSITUTE FOR ISLAMIC STUDIES
CIREBON
2012

ILHAM PUJA SANTANA: "THE COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN DIRECT METHOD AND TRANSLATION METHOD TOWARD STUDENTS READING ABILITY AT SECOND YEARS STUDENTS OF MTs NURUL HUDA MATANGAJI-SUMBER"

ABSTRACT

The government of Indonesia has decided English as the first foreign language to be taught by the teachers and to be learned by the students as the private and state schools in our country. One of the reasons why English is taught and regarded as a compulsory subject at our school is that English is used as international language.

Although the English teacher of MTs Nurul Huda Matangaji Sumber has taught English, especially reading ability, through translation method to the students, but they still find difficult to understand . for this reason, the writer would help the student improve their English by teaching this foreign language, particularly the teaching of reading ability through the direct method.

The aims of the research that will be obtained are to find out the data of the results of students ability in understanding reading texts taught through direct method, in understanding reading texts taught through translation method, and to find out the data of whether there is any positive comparisons between the direct method toward students ability in understanding reading texts.

After the data have been analyzed, the writer concludes that the result of students ability in understanding reading texts taught through direct method is categorized as 'good' because the mean score achieved by each student is 7.16, the results of students ability in understanding reading texts taught though translation method is categorized as 'sufficient' because the mean score achieved by each student is 6.07, and there is a positive comparison. it is proved by the data analysis using t-test formula which shows that the value of tobserved (3.323) is higher than the critical value of t-table (1.99) with the significance 5% and the degree of freedom 78. This becomes an accurate indicator that the direct method and translation method.

seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber

/ekh Nurjati Cirebon

yekh Nurjati Cirebon



PREFACE

In the name of Allah, the most gracious, and the most merciful. All praises due to Allah SWT and thankfulness be to Allah because of his permission the writer has been able to finish this thesis. My invocation and safety always be given to the prophet Muhammad SAW (peace be upon him) his family, his companions, and followers up to the end of the world. This thesis is entitled in "THE COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN DIRECT METHOD AND TRANSLATION METHOD TOWARD STUDENTS READING ABILITY AT SECOND YEAR OF MTs NURUL HUDA MATANGAJI-SUMBER.

"Presented to the English Educational Department of Tarbiyah Faculty IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon in partial fulfillment of requirement for Scholar Degree in English Education.

In writing this thesis, there are so many people who have participated, supported, helped, and advised. So in this opportunity the writer would like to convey sincere propound thankfulness to:

- Prof. Dr. H. Maksum, MA: The Rector of Syekh Nurjati State for Islamic Studies.
- 2. Dr. Hj. Huriyah Saleh, M.Pd: The Chairwomen of English Education Department.
- 3. Drs. Ilman Nafi'a, MAg: as the first Supervisor
- 4. Sumadi SS, MHum: as the second Supervisor
- 5. Dr. Hj. Huriyah Saleh, M.Pd: as the first Examiner
- 6. Mr. Tedi Rohadi, M.Pd.SE Dipl. TEFL: as the second Examiner

ekh Nurjati Cirebon



- 7. Drs. Kurmaedi TH: The Headmaster of Mts Nurul Huda Matangaji Sumber.
- 8. All teachers and school staff of Mts Nurul Huda Matangaji Sumber.
- 9. The students at the second year of Mts Nurul Huda Matangaji Sumber..
- 10. My Father Edy Uhaedy and My Mother Eny Rokhaeni: who always give support and motivation.
- 11. And all my friends that can not be mentioned one by one for their motivation.

The writer realizes that this thesis is still far from being perfect and of course there are many mistakes both in the contents and in arrangement of this thesis. Therefore, any comments and suggestion given by the readers would be glady welcome.

Hopely, this thesis will be useful for the readers especially, for the writer himself and also for English Department of Tarbiyah Faculty of Syekh Nurjati Cirebon.

Cirebon, July 2012

The writer



TABLE OF CONTENT

	\circ
	•
	-
	ல
	둦
	()
	-
	\simeq
	01
T	\leq
a	
	ス
k Cipta Dil	
	M
$\stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow}$	<u>u</u>
9	\rightarrow
	$\overline{\mathcal{C}}$
_	CO
=:	\rightarrow
\rightarrow	$\boldsymbol{\omega}$
ilind	ᆽ
	63
_	<u>u</u>
nc 0	യ
=	_
\supset	
0	
02	7
7	_
	10
0	U
	~
	(III)
$\overline{}$	*
$\overline{}$	\sim
02	
9	
9	
	_
	0)
	()
	=
	TO.
	-
	O
	$\overline{}$

ABSTRACT APPROVAL

	_	
	0.5	
	σ	
	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	
7		
	ota	
	-	
	α	
	_	
	\cup	
	_	
	\supset	
	$\overline{}$	
	$\overline{}$	
	$\overline{}$	
	_	
	\odot	
	_	
	_	
	03	
	70	
	\supset	
-	(0	
	Ų,	
	$\overline{}$	
	\supset	
-	0	
	0.	
	α	

RATIFICATION	
OFFICIAL NOTE	
LETTER OF AUTHENTICITY	i
AUTHOBIOGRAPHY	ii
PREFACE	iii
TABLE OF CONTENT	iv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
A. The background of the Problem	1
B. Identification of the Problem	5
1. The Research Field	5
2. The Research Approach	5
3. TheMain Problem	5
C. The Limitation of the Problem	5
D. The Questions of the Research	6
E. The Aims of the Research	6
F. The Uses of the Research	7
CHAPTER II THEORITICAL FOUNDATION	
A. The Definition of Method, Direct Method And To	ranslation
Method	8
1. The Definition of Method	8
2. The Definition of Direct Method	9
3. The Definition of Translation Method	10
B. The Definition of Reading	12
1. Types of Reading	12
a. Intensive Reading	13
b. Extensive Reading	14



node

	\bigcirc
	-
	ω
	-
	\rightarrow
	01
т	<
-	
\overline{a}	
$\overline{\lambda}$	
,	
	U
	ത്
\preceq	U
0)	
_	O
$\overline{}$	7.0
=:	CO
₹.	
=	$\boldsymbol{\omega}$
\circ	-
	63
=	T)
=	0)
	=
_	
\supset	حل
0	
22	7
4	4
\supset	-
0	
T	-
_	
$\overline{}$	(D
\supset	*
0	
22	
9	
\supset	/
0	
_	
	. 7
	0
	The state of the s
	Ω
	=
	A

	C. The Definition of Teaching	15
	1. Components in the Process of Teaching	
	a. Teacher	
	b. The students	
	c. The subject matter	
	d. The Place	
	D. The Frame of Thinking	
	E. The Hypothesis of Research	
CHAPTER III	THE METODOLOGY OF RESEACH	4U
CHAFTER III	THE METODOLOGI OF RESEACH	
	A. The Objectives of the Problem	21
	1. The Place and Time of the research	22
	2. The method of the research	22
	3. The variables of the research	22
	4. The population and sample	22
	a. Population	22
	b. Sample	23
	5. The technique and collecting data	23
	a. Observation	23
	b. Interview	24
	c. Test	24
	d. The technique of analyzing data	25
CHAPTER IV	THE RESEARCH FINDING	
	A. The Analysis data	26
	1. The exact condition of school	26
	a. The Historical Background of the School	26
	b. The Geographical Location of the School	28
	c. The Exact Condition of the Teachers and Administors	31
	d. The Exact Condition of the Student	32
	e. The Exact Condition of the School Facilities	34
	f The School Structure Organization	35



Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-Undang

2. The Real Process of Teaching and Learning	37
a. Pre – Elementary Teaching	37
b. WhilstTeaching	37
c. Post – Teaching	38
d. The Process of teaching and Learning English at Mts	
Nurul Huda Matangaji Sumber	39
e. The Process of teaching and Learning Reading ability	
through Direct Method	39
f. The Process of teaching and Learning Reading ability	
through Translation Method	42
3. The Research Finding	44
a. The Students' Achievement in Reading ability	
through Direct Method	44
b. The Students' Achievement in Reading ability	
through Translation Method	48
c. The Comparison Between Direct method and	
translation method of teaching reading toward	
Students Ability	51
d. Technique for analysis data	51
e. Testing hypothesis	58
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION	60
BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APPENDIXES	

/ekh Nurjati Cirebon



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A. The Background of the problem

Language plays a vital role in learning. Many kinds of learning are dependent on the individual's mastery of language and facility with verbal symbols. It is a tool that helps us learn, retain, recall, and transmit information and control environment, Janet W learner (1985:310) argues that language also fulfills several very human functions; it provides a means for communicating and socializing with other human beings; it enables the culture to be transmitted from generation to generation, and a vehicle of thought.

Tinker and Cullogh (1968: 90) define that:

"Reading involves the identification and recognition of printed or written symbols which serve as stimuli or recall of meaning built up though past experience and further the construction of new meanings through reader's manipulation of relevant concepts which have been already in his mind".

And English as a subject that is taught since the fourth year of elementary school up to university still become one of the students problems in Indonesia. Although it is not an obligatory subject, but there are many schools, mostly in towns in which English is taught to their students.

Increasingly English is becoming the major international language of written or printed information. A great deal of the world of scientific, commercial, economic, and technological knowledge is written in response to the needs for transferring technology and science, the government policy is to place English as the first and major foreign language studied at schools and universities. They are expected to have the four language skills, namely reading. Listening. speaking, and writing.

Reading as a part of mastering a language is closely linked to the other parts of language process; speaking, writing, and listening. Reading like other language process is a cognitive process. It is centered in the brain, and is involving all the processes that the brain uses in the formal course of mental activity.

It is perfectly true that the reading widely is an effective means of extending our command of a language, whether it is the first language or the foreign language.

The students role as a reader demands that the should make sense of the text for himself. From the beginning. He has to do for himself everything that she is capable of doing. This requires encouragement, especially the encouragement that comes from success; and success in turn comes from texts that are suitable.

As students, we have the collect information and file it away in our brain. In addition, we have to know where to find, how to evaluate, what to do with, and when we get it In other words, we have to learn the art of intelligent reading because we will find ourselves to be the receives information and often the transmitters of information to the others.

English say that's that teaching English as a foreign language through the translation method is very simple and it makes the students understand more clearly the meanings of the words in the sentences. For example, the English teacher wants to teach this sentence "A teacher is a person who teach students". He/she only translates the meanings of the words in the sentences into students' native language, those are : a= seorang, teacher = guru, person = orang, who = yang, teach = mengajar, students = murid-murid . So "a teacher is a person who teach students" means "seorang guru adalah orang yang mengajar murid-murid.

Whereas the English teacher who uses the direct method for teaching English says that teaching English as a foreign Language through the direct method obliges the teacher and the students use and speak the target language from the beginning of the course till it ends. There is no translation of the English words into students native language if it is not really needed. For example, the English teacher wants to teach the meaning of the word "tighten", he/ she takes a

spanner and tries to tighten a car by saying "I am tightening a car" to the students.

Mts Nurul Huda Matangaji-Sumber, is one of the Islamic Junior High Schools in Sumber, that receives the English subject. In this case, the students most still consider English as one of the most difficult subject to be learned by them. This is because they do not know much about the English reading. It should be noted here that the English teacher at the second year of Mts Matangaji Sumber uses translation method for teaching English as a foreign language. One of them uses the translation method and the other one uses the direct method for teaching English. In this matter, the writer would like to know and will give more contribution for students reading ability.

Referring to the passage above, the writer is interested to do the research about "The comparative study between direct method and translation method toward the students reading ability at the second year students of *Mts Nurul Huda Matangaji Sumber*". And the writer finds it is important to know whether the method of teaching in English reading comprehension can influence the reader's success. This research also aimed at identifying the problem that the students encounter in reading and the research may help to contribute a way out to overcome the problem in order to help the students have better achievement in their entire process of learning English.

Dilarang mengumumkan atau memperbanyak karya ilmiah ini dalam bentuk apapun tanpa seizin Perpustakaan IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon. Pengutipan tidak merugikan kepentingan yang wajar dari Perpustakaan IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon.

B. The Identification of the problem

1. The Research Field

The writer would like to the research in field reading ability in this context the writer would like to know whether this reading habit could comparative between Direct method and Translation method on teaching reading ability.

2. The Research Approach

The approach of this research is a descriptive approach. This means that the writer collect, organizes, analyzes, and summarizes, the data. This is as to make a systematic, valid, and reasonable indicators of the events and situation.

3. The Main Problem

The main problem in this research is the students weakness or lack of ability in learning English language, especially achievement in English reading. Therefore the writer tries to apply the direct method and translation method in reading ability, as the problem solving to solve the problem.

C. The Limitation of the Problem

In this thesis, the writer would like to limit the problem into three kinds, there must be four skills namely, listening, speaking, writing, and reading. In order not to have misunderstanding about the intention of

writing this thesis, the writer would like to limit the problem namely, in conversation and reading. And the reading can be one the ways to improve their ability on it.

D. The Questions of the Research

Based on the reason above, the writer has tired to give close attention to know:

- 1. How is the students achievement in learning reading ability through the direct method?
- 2. How is the students achievement in learning reading ability through the translation method?
- 3. Is there any positive comparison between direct method and translation method used for teaching the English reading ability?

E. The Aims of the Research

The aims of the research in this thesis are:

- To find out the data of the students reading ability through the direct method.
- 2. To find out the data of the students reading ability through the translation method.
- To find out the data of whether there is any positive comparison of the above two kinds of methods used for teaching the English reading ability.

 Dilarang mengutip sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber:

 a. Pengutipan hanya untuk kepentingan pendidikan, penelitian, penulisan karya ilmiah, penyusunan laporan, penulisan kritik atau tinjauan sub. Pengutipan tidak merugikan kepentingan yang wajar dari Perpustakaan IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon.
 Dilarang mengumumkan atau memperbanyak karya ilmiah ini dalam bentuk apapun tanpa seizin Perpustakaan IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon.

 penyusunan laporan, penulisan kritik atau tinjauan suatu masalah.

Hak Cipta Milik Perpustakaan IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon

F. The Uses of the Research

These are the use of this research:

- 1. Through the application of direct method and translation method for teaching reading focus, the students are expected can be motivated in learning English, so that, can increase the students achievement.
- To know how is the English teacher use the of direct method and translation method, methodology teaching in English at school.
- 3. The material of direct method and translation method can implicated with English teacher to teach reading.

/ekh Nurjati Cirebon

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- A.J. Thompson & Martinet, 1986. A *practical English Usage*, Oxford University Press, London: University Press.
- Arikunto & Suharsimi DR, 1992, *Prosedur Penelitian (Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*), Bandung: Rineka Cipta.
- Borg & Gall, 1971, Educational Research, Newyork: Second Edition.
- Brown, James Dean, 1990, *Undestanding Research in Second Language Learning*. London: Cambridge University press.
- Diane Larsen-Freeman, 1986. *Techniques and Principles In Language Teaching*, Boston: Oxford.
- Francois Grellet, 1981. *Developing Reading Skills*, Cambridge University, New York: University Press.
- Henry G Tarigan, 1997. *Membaca Sebagai Suatu Keterampilan Berbahasa*, Bandung: Penerbit Angkasa.
- Hornby AS, 2000. Oxford advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English, London: Oxford university.
- Howards, Melvin, 1980, Reading Diagnosis and instruction and integrated Approach, Inc Virginia: Reston Publishing Company.
- Iif khoiru Ahmadi M.Pd. & Sofan Amri S.Pd. 2010, Strategi Pembelajaran sekolah berstandar internasional & nasional, Jakarta: Prestasi Pustaka.
- Jane Willis, 1981. *Teaching English Through English*, Hongkong: Longman Group Ltd.
- Janet W Lerner, 1985. Learning Disabillities; Theories, Diagnosis, and Teaching Strategis, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.

Syekh Nurjati Cirebon

New York: Longman Inc.

Lukman, D. PK. 2002, Step by Step, English For Vocational Schools, Bandung: Humaniora Utama Press.

Michael Swan, 1997. *Practical English Usage*, Hongkong: Oxford University Press.

Jeremy Harmer, 1983, The practice of English Language Teaching,

Muhibbin Syah, 1999, *Psikologi Pendidikan*, Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.

Robert Lado, 1946, *Language Teaching, A Scientific Approach*. New York: Mc. Graw Hill.

Roestiyah, NK. 1977, Didaktik/ Metodik, Jakarta: Nasco.

Sudijono, Anas, 1999. *Pengantar Statistik Pendidikan*, Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada.

Suharsimi Arikunto, 1992, *Procedure Penelitian, Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*, Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

Tinker and Cullogh 1968, *Teaching Elementary Reading*, New York: Appleton.

Walter, R Borg, Gall D Meedith. 1971, *Educational Research: An Introduction*, Newyork: David Mckay Company Inc.

William Francis Mackey 1974, Language Teaching analysis, London: Longman Group Ltd.

Winarno Surakhmad 1982, *Dasar dan teknik Research*, Pengantar Metodologi Ilmiah, Bandung: Tasito.