

Financial Efficiency of Islamic Rural Banks in Indonesia: A Two-Stage DEA Approach

by Wartoyo Wartoyo

Submission date: 08-Sep-2025 06:44AM (UTC+0000)

Submission ID: 2744952924

File name: Manuscript_HSSL_2.doc (537K)

Word count: 9919

Character count: 62955

Financial Efficiency of Islamic Rural Banks in Indonesia: A Two-Stage DEA Approach

¹Universitas Islam Negeri Syekh Nurjati Cirebon Indonesia, The Faculty of Islamic Economic and Business

Wartoyo Wartoyo¹
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9260-9064> Email: wartoyo@syekhnurjati.ac.id ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9260-9064>



(Corresponding Author)

Article History

Received:
Revised:
Accepted:
Published:

ABSTRACT

This study examines the financial efficiency of Islamic Rural Banks (BPRS) in West Java, Indonesia, by using a two-stage Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) framework grounded in Financial Intermediation Theory. The first stage measures efficiency through multiple inputs operating expenses, fixed assets and inventory, total deposits, and total assets and outputs, profit-sharing financing, receivables, fund distribution income, and other operating income. The second stage applies Tobit regression to evaluate the effects of key financial ratios: Non-Performing Financing (NPF), Return on Assets (ROA), Operating Expenses to Operating Income Ratio (BOPO), and Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR) on efficiency scores. Findings indicate that 7 of 10 BPRS consistently achieved optimal efficiency (DEA score = 1.00), while 3 institutions experienced persistent inefficiencies across various inputs and outputs. Tobit analysis shows that ROA, BOPO, and FDR have significant positive effects on efficiency, whereas NPF is not statistically significant. The results underscore the critical role of cost control and effective fund intermediation in improving performance. The study advances the application of Financial Intermediation Theory in Sharia-compliant rural banking by integrating ethical considerations into technical efficiency measurement. Limitations include geographic focus, data quality variability, and exclusion of qualitative performance measures.

Keywords:

Islamic Rural Banks
Sharia Banking
Financial Intermediation
Financial Performance
Financial Efficiency

Contribution/Originality: This study's theoretical significance comes from applying Financial Intermediation Theory to Sharia-compliant financial institutions, filling a vacuum in the literature that is still dominated by research on traditional banking and financing. For policymakers, regulators, and bank management looking to enhance performance, governance, and resource optimization in the Islamic microfinance industry.

1. INTRODUCTION

Financial services play a strategic role as an intermediary between parties with surplus funds (savers) and those in need of funds (borrowers), thereby serving as a key driver of economic growth (Cameron et al., 2021; Chowdhury & Uddin, 2021; Okello Candiya Bongomin et al., 2024). Over the past three decades, Indonesia's Islamic banking industry has experienced rapid growth and has become one of the main pillars of the national financial system. According to the Sharia Banking Statistics of the Financial Services Authority (OJK, 2023), as of January 2023, the total assets of Islamic commercial banks amounted to IDR 765.36 trillion. An important component of this system is the Islamic Rural Bank known as Bank Pembiayaan Rakyat Syariah (abbr. BPRS), which operates at the grassroots level under

specific regulations and guidelines. Based on Indonesian Financial Service Authority - *Otoritas Jasa Keuangan* (OJK)- data, the total assets of 187 BPRS institutions in January 2023 reached IDR 20.18 trillion. Unlike Islamic Commercial Banks (BIS), BPRS primarily serve the microeconomic sector, particularly Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) (Okello Candiya Bongomin et al., 2024; I. Setiawan, 2021; Wajdi Dusuki, 2008). The majority of BPRS financing is directed toward business groups that are underserved by conventional banks, which tend to impose stricter requirements. This aligns with the mandate of Bank Indonesia Regulation No.14/22/PBI/2012, which requires commercial banks to allocate at least 20% of their credit portfolio to MSMEs, positioning BPRS as a key in promoting financial inclusion and local economic empowerment (Ahmad et al., 2020; Blanco-Oliver et al., 2023; Kamla & Rammal, 2013).

However, the increasing penetration of commercial banks into the microfinance market has intensified competition, eroding MSME customer loyalty and slowing the growth of BPRS. Limited business scale, technological capacity, and financial infrastructure make it difficult for BPRS to compete with institutions that possess greater capital and broader networks. (Lebdaoui & Wild, 2016; Mohamed & Elgammal, 2023; Zaini & Kusuma, 2024). In addition, the high levels of non-performing financing (NPF) in BPRS are often attributed to inadequate managerial capabilities, business behavior shaped by local cultural norms, and low financial literacy among MSME players. These vulnerabilities have become increasingly evident over the past three years (Adriana et al., 2023; Budiandru, 2021; Maknuun et al., 2022).

Several previous studies have examined the performance and effectiveness of Islamic banks and BPRS using various approaches, including Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), which is also employed in this study (Fall et al., 2018; Wasiaturrahma et al., 2020; Widiastuti et al., 2022). Some have applied Two-Stage DEA to analyze the impact of environmental factors, such as financial ratios, on efficiency (Al-Awlaqi & Aamer, 2019; Alam, 2013; Ben Abdelkader & Mansouri, 2019; Sanyinna & Omar, 2017). Nonetheless, most prior studies have been limited to assessing technical efficiency alone, without providing a comprehensive understanding of the input-output performance of BPRS (Ben Abdelkader & Mansouri, 2019; Wasiaturrahma et al., 2020; Widiarto & Emrouznejad, 2015). The earlier research outcomes was not enough to understand, document, and encompass input-output performance comprehensively (Alandejani, 2022; Fan et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2022; Mahyudin & Rosman, 2022; Rohman et al., 2021; Sari et al., 2024). In the context of Indonesia's evolving financial ecosystem, the sustainability and competitiveness of BPRS are influenced not only by internal efficiency but also by the complex interaction between financing risk, market competition, policy changes, and the broader institutional regulatory framework, that have thus far been insufficiently addressed in the academic literature (Muslichah & Sanusi, 2019; Puteri, 2023; Sadali et al., 2024; I. Setiawan, 2021).

This context underscores the urgency of research that goes beyond measuring the financial performance of BPRS to also examine their operational practices, ethical standards, and contributions to MSMEs in Islamic microfinance (Amelia & Hardini, 2017; Azmansyah et al., 2022). The issue is strategically aligned with the national agenda for equitable growth and financial inclusion and is critical to supporting Indonesia's Vision 2045 and long-term growth strategy (AbdulGaniyy & AbdulKareem, 2020; Widiastuti et al., 2022). This study aims to provide critical and analytical insights into how BPRS can respond to regulatory changes, manage financing risks, and enhance competitiveness in the microfinance sector (Ahsan & Qureshi, 2021; Santosa et al., 2020).

The focus of this research is West Java Province, a highly populated and economically dynamic region that serves as one of the major hubs for BPRS operations and the MSME sector (Addury & Pangestu, 2023; Fathimah, 2023; Syahid & Noviarita, 2022).

West Java is also a competitive arena between BPRS and BUS. BUS hold a competitive advantage due to their larger asset base and broader market reach, requiring BPRS to improve their performance to maintain market share (Bakhri, 2021; Maharani, 2018; Maharani & Setiyono, 2018; Mohd Thas Thaker & Mohd Thas Thaker, 2016). In addition to being shaped by local characteristics, BPRS in West Java face additional pressures from their proximity to Jakarta and involvement in national economic corridors such as the Greater Jakarta (Jabodetabek) and Southern West Java regions, which expose them to both national regulatory reforms and market dynamics (R. A. Setiawan, 2023; Zaini & Kusuma, 2024).

One important indicator of BPRS resilience is operational efficiency. This study will evaluate the efficiency level of BPRS in West Java while identifying internal factors that may influence it. Given the strategic role of BPRS in both urban and rural areas of the province, this research is expected to provide a comprehensive understanding of their risk management, financial performance, operational efficiency, and financing outcomes. To date, no study has specifically examined the efficiency of BPRS in West Java along with its determinants, making this research well-positioned to address this empirical gap.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Financial Intermediation Theory, in the context of Islamic rural banking (BPRS), as described by Lewis et al. (2014), emphasizes the role of banks as intermediaries that mobilize funds and channel them to customers through profit-and-loss sharing schemes, as opposed to the interest-based conventional system. By effectively distributing funds and mobilizing savings, banks demonstrate their capacity as intermediaries whose main functions include managing risks between savers and borrowers, reducing transaction costs, and mitigating information asymmetry (Alhammadi et al., 2024; Halik et al., 2022; Nosheen & Rashid, 2020). Effective⁴² intermediation directs financial resources toward the most productive uses in the economy (Beck et al., 2013; Halik et al., 2022; Miah & Uddin, 2017).

Efficiency is an essential benchmark of bank performance. A firm is considered efficient if it: (1) uses the same inputs but produces larger outputs; (2) uses fewer inputs but maintains the same level of outputs; or (3) uses more inputs but produces proportionally greater outputs (Bello et al., 2017; Hakim, 2023; Santosa et al., 2020). Efficiency is closely related to profitability, where the more efficient a bank is, the greater the profits it generates. Among the factors that affect bank profitability is financial performance which is reflected in financial ratios (Iqbal et al., 2019; Masruroh, 2022). The profitability of the bank is reflected in the *Return on Assets* or ROA. Based on research by Santosa et al (2020), FDR, NPF, and BOPO have a significant effect on bank profitability (ROA). NPF and FDR variables have a partial positive and insignificant effect on profitability (ROA) (Santosa et al., 2020). The BOPO variable partially has a negative and significant influence on profitability (ROA). If NPF, FDR, BOPO affect ROA, then these four variables are thought to also affect efficiency because the essence of efficiency is to generate optimal profits (Arif & Rahmawati, 2018; SHAIKH, 2022). A review of banking efficiency (especially BPRS) is very necessary because it concerns the sustainability of banks that manage customer funds.

Inefficiencies in BPRS can be identified from suboptimal resource allocation, high operating expenses, complex banking procedures, weak debtor assessment systems, and limited capital (Abdullah & Ismail, 2017; Blanco-Oliver et al., 2023). Similarly, the failure to incorporate debtor screening procedures and credit scoring systems leads to problems with asymmetric information, credit supervision, and stakeholder trust (Lodhi, 2020; Sartono et al., 2023). These issues are further exacerbated by localized economic risks arising from narrow loan portfolios and regional specialization. Furthermore, rural banks are more susceptible to localized economic shocks due to their limited loan portfolio and regional specialization, which frequently leads to a poor challenge-taking attitude (Fianto et al., 2019; Shirazi, 2019;

Ülev et al., 2023). Capital constraints that limit rural banks' ability to mobilize and use financial resources optimally exacerbate these inefficiencies (Abdullah & Ismail, 2017; Khir & Mohamed, 2023).

To measure efficiency, Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) is used as a non-parametric quantitative approach that compares decision-making units (DMUs) against an efficient frontier formed by top-performing entities (Alam, 2013; Ben Abdelkader & Mansouri, 2019; Liu et al., 2022; Mohsin et al., 2021; Widiarto & Emrouznejad, 2015). DEA models can be output-oriented or input-oriented, with the latter assessing how well human, capital, and deposit resources are managed to generate financing and income. Advanced applications use two-stage DEA to link efficiency scores with determinants such as market competition, capital adequacy, and liquidity ratios (Lebdaoui & Wild, 2016; Pertwi, 2023; Wasiaturrahma et al., 2020).

Advanced applications employ two-stage DEA, in which factors influencing efficiency (such as market competitiveness, capital sufficiency, and liquidity ratios) are analyzed in the second stage after efficiency scores have been estimated in the first. According to Financial Intermediation Theory, this method aids in connecting efficiency assessment to the underlying operational and financial characteristics and capabilities (Alzwi et al., 2024; Hammadi et al., 2024; Issa & Abbaszadeh, 2023). The intricacies of financial intermediation choices under risk and information asymmetry are reflected in recent DEA models that incorporate stochastic features and fuzzy variables to address unpredictability and challenges in banking inputs and outputs (Abalkhail & Presley, 2002; Blanco-Oliver et al., 2023). By identifying peers who implement excellent practices, DEA data provide inefficient banks benchmarks. In line with the objectives of Financial Intermediation Theory, this supports management and regulatory actions meant to increase financial stability, lower costs, and improve intermediation efficiency (Hakim, 2023; Widiarto & Emrouznejad, 2015; Yusfiarto, 2021).

BPRS are vital financial institutions in Indonesia that promote financial inclusion through Sharia-compliant principles. Although their operational scale is smaller than that of Islamic commercial banks, their fundamental principles align with the intermediation theory, which views banks as entities that transform inputs into financing, revenue, and profit (Aubel et al., 2022; Elkreem, 2017; Sari et al., 2024; Fadlyanti & Sutrisno, 2023; Mennawi, 2020; Suhartini et al., 2024). The research gap lies in the limited studies that measure BPRS efficiency using DEA within the framework of Financial Intermediation Theory. Particularly by incorporating financial performance variables such as ROA, FDR, NPF, and BOPO as determinants of efficiency.

3. METHODOLOGY

The research employed a quantitative method with an inferential statistical approach.⁶⁰ This approach involves the systematic collection of data containing factual information and characteristics of the research object, followed by statistical testing and interpretation grounded in relevant theoretical or empirical literature. The sampling technique applied was probability sampling, specifically proportionate stratified random sampling. This method is appropriate when the population comprises heterogeneous elements that are organized into strata (Cresswell, 2013; Takona, 2024). The study focused on Islamic Rural Banks (BPRS) operating in West Java. According to 2022 data from the Financial Services Authority (OJK), there were 27 BPRS distributed across 10 regencies/cities in the province. From each regency/city, the BPRS with the largest total assets and complete financial statement data was selected, resulting in a final sample of 10 institutions, as seen in table 1.

Table 1. Research Sample

No	BPRS	Location
1	BPRS Harta Insan Karimah Parahyangan	Bandung Regency
2	BPRS Amanah Insani	Bekasi Regency
3	BPRS Amanah Ummah	Bogor Regency
4	BPRS Gaido Indonesia	Cianjur Regency
5	BPRS PNM Mental	Garut Regency
6	BPRS Baiturridha Pusaka	Bandung City
7	BPRS Harta Insan Karimah Cibitung	Bekasi City
8	BPRS Daarut Tauhid	Cimahi City
9	BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman	Depok City
10	BPRS Almadinah	Tasikmalaya City

14

The study was conducted in two stages (Kloke & McKean, 2024). The first stage involved a nonparametric efficiency analysis, which compared historical input and output data to generate efficiency scores for BPRS. The input variables consisted of Operating Expenses, Fixed Assets and Inventory, Total Deposits, and Total Assets, while the output variables comprised Financing, Receivables, Income from Fund Disbursement, and Other Operating Income. Efficiency measurement was performed using the nonparametric Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) approach. In the DEA framework, the evaluation process begins by identifying decision-making units (DMUs) and specifying the relevant input and output indicators (DeMeo, 2016; Smeeton et al., 2025). The model then calculates productivity scores and identifies units that either fail to utilize inputs efficiently or fail to produce optimal output (Arif & Rahmawati, 2018; Fianto et al., 2019).

The second stage examined the influence of financial ratio variables on efficiency. In this stage, efficiency served as the dependent variable, while the independent variables comprised four financial ratios: Non-Performing Financing (NPF), Return on Assets (ROA), Operating Efficiency Ratio (BOPO), and Financing-to-Deposit Ratio (FDR).

$$\theta_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 NPF_{it} + \beta_2 ROA_{it} + \beta_3 BOPO_{it} + \beta_4 FDR_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Because the dependent variable (y) in this study is a ratio ranging from 0 to 1, the appropriate regression technique is Tobit regression. The Tobit model is a modified form of regression that differs from ordinary least squares (OLS) in its treatment of censored dependent variables. This method assumes that the independent variables have an unrestricted range, while the dependent variable is bounded; that all variables are measured accurately; that there is no autocorrelation or heteroscedasticity; that no perfect multicollinearity exists; and that the specified mathematical model is correct (Chali et al., 2022; Cresswell, 2013). In OLS, model fit is typically assessed using the R-squared value or the F-statistic. However, in Tobit regression, these metrics are not valid for inference; model justification relies solely on the t-statistic (Smeeton et al., 2025). Accordingly, the hypothesis testing procedure in this study employs only the t-test, which evaluates the statistical significance of each independent variable's effect on the dependent variable at a 5% significance level.

4. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULT

4.1. Result

4.1.1 Measurement the Efficiency BPRS in West Java

Efficiency serves as a key parameter for evaluating organizational performance. It is defined as the ratio of the expected output to a given set of inputs (Mahyudin & Rosman, 2022; Özdemir, 2022). Measuring efficiency is essential, as it forms an integral part of performance assessment across various organizational contexts, including Islamic Rural

Banks (BPRS). Table 2 presents the efficiency scores of 10 BPRS operating in West Java over the period 2019–2022, representing institutions distributed across 10 regencies/cities.

Table 2. West Java BPRS Efficiency Level

BPRS	Efficiency Scale			
	2019	2020	2021	2022
BPRS HIK Parahyangan	1	1	1	1
BPRS Amanah Insani	1	1	1	1
BPRS Amanah Ummah	0,924	0,907	1	1
BPRS Gaido Indonesia	1	1	1	1
BPRS PNM Mental	1	1	1	1
BPRS Baiturridha Pusaka	1	1	1	1
BPRS HIK Cibitung	1	1	1	1
BPRS Daarul Hayat	1	0,84	1	1
BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman	0,933	0,826	0,75	0,907
BPRS Almadinah	1	1	1	1

Source: Output DEAP 2.1

Between 2019 and 2022, seven BPRS consistently achieved optimal efficiency scores (DEA score = 1.00) each year. These institutions are BPRS HIK Parahyangan, BPRS Amanah Insani, BPRS Gaido Indonesia, BPRS PNM Mental, BPRS Baiturridha Pusaka, BPRS HIK Cibitung, and BPRS Almadinah. In contrast, the remaining three BPRS did not consistently attain optimal efficiency during the observation period. Specifically, BPRS Amanah Ummah recorded inefficiencies in 2019 (0.924) and 2022 (0.907); BPRS Daarul Hayat experienced inefficiency in 2020 (0.840); and BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman exhibited inefficiencies in 2019 (0.933), 2020 (0.826), 2021 (0.750), and 2022 (0.907). Institutions that failed to achieve an efficiency score of 1.00 are classified as inefficient, and subsequent analysis focuses on identifying the factors contributing to these inefficiencies.

4.1.2 Inefficiency in BPRS Outputs and Inputs in West Java

The DEA computations using DEAP 2.1 not only generate efficiency scores but also provide diagnostic information regarding the sources of inefficiency. This diagnostic output includes four key components: (1) *Original Value*, representing the actual input and output values based on the financial and operational data of each BPRS; (2) *Projected Value*, referring to the input or output levels required for the institution to operate at relative efficiency; (3) *Radial Movement*, indicating the proportional adjustment needed—either by increasing outputs for the same level of inputs or by reducing inputs while maintaining the same output level; and (4) *Slack Movement*, denoting additional non-proportional input reductions beyond radial adjustments, which reflect surplus inputs that can be eliminated without negatively affecting output.

This study presents only a summary of these DEA components, focusing on both input and output inefficiencies. The results reveal that output inefficiencies occur across all output variables, namely profit-sharing financing, income from fund distribution, and other operating income. All inefficiency values are positive, indicating that each BPRS would need to increase the respective output variables by the specified amounts to reach optimal efficiency. A positive (+) sign signifies that the corresponding variable requires an upward adjustment to align with the efficient frontier showed in Table 3.

Table 3. Output Inefficiency of West Java BPRS, 2019–2022 (in thousand rupiah)

	Profit Sharing Financing	Receivables	Income from Disbursement	Other Operating Income
BPRS Amanah	(+) Rp953.148	(+)	(+) Rp18.328.409	(+) Rp619.862

Ummah (2019)		Rp16.685.616		
BPRS Amanah	(+) Rp640.301	(+)	(+) Rp12.733.014	(+) Rp2.565.568
Ummah (2020)		Rp21.399.447		
BPRS Daarut Tauhid (2020)	(+) Rp245.988	(+)	(+) Rp1.237.675	(+) Rp32.276
BPRS Al Salaam	(+) Rp1.035.154	(+) Rp16.395	(+) Rp4.508.478	(+) Rp3.053.374
Amal Salman (2019)				
BPRS Al Salaam	(+) Rp2.792.538	(+)	(+) Rp11.934.171	(+) Rp2.540.667
Amal Salman (2020)		Rp38.598.938		
BPRS Al Salaam	(+) Rp10.968.648	(+)	(+) Rp16.791.067	(+) Rp3.333.890
Amal Salman (2021)		Rp56.650.442		
BPRS Al Salaam	(+) Rp1.229.846	(+)	(+) Rp5.291.598	(+) Rp5.381.250
Amal Salman (2022)		Rp20.731.483		

Source: DEAP 2.1 output (data processed by researchers)

Based on the output, it can be explaining; First, inefficiency in profit-sharing financing indicates that the total financing disbursed is below the predetermined target. This shortfall stems from the cautious approach adopted by BPRS in extending financing. While the application of the precautionary principle is important for mitigating risk, it should not excessively constrain financing activities to the extent that disbursement falls short of the target. To address this issue, BPRS can implement rigorous monitoring mechanisms during the financing process and periodically reassess its financing targets to ensure they remain realistic yet growth-oriented.

Second, inefficiency is observed in receivables, where the total receivables distributed do not meet the established target. Receivable performance is closely linked to the quality of the business relationship between BPRS and its customers. To address this, BPRS should align receivable disbursement with the set targets while continuing to uphold prudent lending standards (Amin et al., 2021; Mindra et al., 2022).

In addition to these two variables, inefficiencies are also evident in income from fund distribution and other operating income. In the case of income from fund distribution, the realized profit remains suboptimal and below the target. Fund distribution activities in BPRS follow both the sale-and-purchase principles—using contracts such as *murabaha*, *istishna*, and *salam* (Riduwan & Danupranata, 2020; Ropiah, 2025), and the profit-sharing principles of *mudharaba* and *musharaka* (Asadov, 2020; Suhartini et al., 2024). To improve performance in this area, BPRS should review its financing schemes to minimize risk exposure, for example, by requiring deposit guarantees from financing customers, securing ownership certificates for financed goods in sale-and-purchase contracts, and continuously monitoring macroeconomic trends that influence purchasing power and repayment capacity.

For inefficiencies in other operating income, which primarily originate from service fees, BPRS could consider increasing administrative charges, provided such adjustments are accompanied by measurable improvements in service quality (Blanco-Olivier et al., 2023; Khir & Mohamed, 2023; Sutrisno et al., 2023).

On the input side, inefficiencies are detected across almost all input variables, namely operating expenses, fixed assets and inventory, total deposits, and total assets. A negative (−) value indicates the extent to which a variable should be reduced, whereas a positive (+) value indicates the degree to which it should be increased to achieve optimal efficiency.

Table 4. Input Inefficiency of West Java BPRS, 2019–2022 (in thousand rupiah)

		Operating Expenses	Fixed Assets and Inventory	Total Deposit	Total Asset
BPRS Amanah Ummah (2019)		-	(-) Rp1.556.594	(-) Rp84.072.432	-
BPRS Amanah		-	(-) Rp9.534.958	(-)	(-) Rp34.782.129

Ummah (2020)			Rp122.392.786	
BPRS Daarut Tauhid (2020)	-	(-) Rp395.203	(-) Rp4.173.208	(-) Rp861.054
BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman (2019)	(-) Rp1.245.311	(-) Rp22.139.320	(-) Rp104.684.880	-
BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman (2020)	(-) Rp1.061.241	(-) Rp17.421.637	(-) Rp97.456.499	-
BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman (2021)	-	(-) Rp17.061.384	(-) Rp17.061.384	-
BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman (2022)	(+) Rp3.420.283	(+) Rp22.382.327	-	-

Source: DEAP 2.1 output (data processed by researchers)

First, operational expense inefficiencies occur when operational costs incurred exceed the required level. Operational costs in Islamic banks typically include labor expenses, general administrative expenses, marketing costs, profit-sharing expenses, depreciation and provisions for productive assets, building rental fees, and others (Fadlyanti & Sutrisno, 2023; Shahzadi et al., 2021). To improve labor cost efficiency, banks can collaborate with educational institutions or universities to recruit high-quality and competent human resources. Marketing costs can be streamlined by designing strategies aligned with targeted market segments, while profit-sharing costs can be mitigated through credit guarantees provided by credit guarantee institutions (Chenguel, 2019; Ozdemir et al., 2023).

Second, inefficiencies in fixed assets and inventory occur when their utilization is suboptimal or exceeds the required capacity. Fixed assets include land, buildings, banking equipment, and other physical resources. Addressing inefficiencies in this variable does not necessarily require asset reduction; rather, assets should be used optimally to prevent inefficiency. Any addition to fixed assets should be aligned with their efficient utilization to avoid negative impacts on overall efficiency (Ben Abdelkader & Mansouri, 2019; Hachami et al., 2019).

Third, total deposit inefficiency—covering *wadiah* savings as well as time and demand deposits—indicates that the total deposit input is greater than the target, thereby underutilizing its potential to generate output. To address this, excess funds can be allocated for the acquisition of productive assets or by increasing the volume of financing disbursed (Alzwi et al., 2024; Özdemir, 2022).

Fourth, inefficiencies in total assets occur when the value of total assets, including both productive and non-productive assets, exceeds optimal levels. Total assets are a critical determinant of a bank's ability to expand its operations; larger total assets enhance competitive capacity in business expansion and market strengthening. Based on the input inefficiency table above, several BPRS exhibit positive inefficiency values, indicating excess total assets (Khir & Mohamed, 2023; Sang, 2024). In such cases, asset reduction is unnecessary; instead, optimization of existing assets is recommended to improve efficiency.

⁶³ 4.2 The Effect of Financial Ratios (NPF, ROA, BOP, FDR) on the Efficiency of West Java BPRS in 2019-2022

Table 5. Output of Tobit Regression Analysis

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
NPF	0.046145	0.025579	1.804059	0.0712
ROA	0.064885	0.025600	2.534580	0.0113

BOPO	0.004863	0.002458	1.978185	0.00479
FDR	0.006466	0.003224	2.005312	0.00449
C	-0.136526	0.321804	-0.424253	0.6714

Source: Output E-views 13 (data processed by researchers)

Based on Table 5, the results of the Tobit regression analysis using EViews 13, some variables significantly influence efficiency (either positively or negatively), while others have no statistically significant effect. Significance is determined by the p-value in the "Prob" column for each variable. If the p-value is less than 0.05, the variable is considered to have a statistically significant effect on efficiency; the sign of the coefficient indicates whether the effect is positive or negative. Conversely, if the p-value exceeds 0.05, the variable is considered to have no significant effect.

The results indicate that the NPF variable does not significantly affect efficiency, as shown by its p-value of 0.0712, which is greater than $\alpha = 0.05$. This finding is consistent with the studies of Naufal et al. (2017), who found that NPF had no effect on the efficiency of BPRS in Jakarta and surrounding areas, and with the studies of Candra et al. (2015) and Lestari et al. (2015), which reported that NPF had no significant impact on the efficiency of BUS.

In contrast, the ROA variable shows a p-value of 0.0113, which is less than $\alpha = 0.05$, indicating a significant effect on efficiency. The coefficient sign suggests that this effect is positive. The BOPO and FDR variables are found to have a significant influence on efficiency. Based on the regression results, the BOPO variable has a p-value of 0.0479 (< 0.05) and the FDR variable has a p-value of 0.0449 (< 0.05), indicating statistical significance at the 5% level. Both variables have positive coefficients, suggesting that increases in BOPO and FDR are associated with higher efficiency scores. These findings are consistent with the research of Azwar et al (2021), which showed that BOPO positively influences the efficiency of BPRS in Indonesia using a Two-Stage DEA approach. They are also in line with the studies of Candra et al (2015) and Lestari et al (2015), which found that FDR has a positive effect on efficiency.

4.2. Discussion

4.2.1 Efficiency Performance of West Java BPRS in 2019–2022

Based on the findings, among the 10 BPRS institutions in West Java analyzed as research samples, 7 consistently achieved an efficiency score of 1.00 during the 2019–2020 period. These institutions include BPRS Harta Insan Karimah (HIK) Parahyangan, BPRS Amanah Insani, BPRS Gaido Indonesia, BPRS PNM Mentari, BPRS Baiturridha Pusaka, BPRS Harta Insan Karimah (HIK) Cibitung, and BPRS Almadinah. The remaining three—BPRS Amanah Ummah, BPRS Daarul Hayat, and BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman—either failed to attain or did not consistently maintain full efficiency. These results suggest that the efficient BPRS demonstrated strong managerial capabilities and sound operational strategies that effectively supported their intermediation function within the Islamic banking system.

Conversely, DEA scores falling below 1.00 for multiple years indicate persistent inefficiency in the three underperforming institutions. Among them, BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman exhibited the most sustained inefficiency between 2019 and 2022, with efficiency scores ranging from 0.75 to 0.933. The inefficiencies were systemic, affecting both input variables (operating expenses, fixed assets and inventory, total deposits, and total assets) and output variables (profit-sharing financing, receivables, fund distribution income, and other operating income). This pattern points to structural and managerial shortcomings requiring targeted strategic interventions. Specifically, inefficiencies in receivables and fund distribution income were universal among these institutions, reflecting excessive and sub-

optimally allocated inputs. In contrast, inefficiencies in profit-sharing financing and other operating income appeared sporadically, indicating occasional failures to maintain optimal input levels.

These results align with prior literature on rural banking efficiency. Studies have shown that limited human capital capacity and suboptimal asset utilization frequently drive inefficiency in Indonesian rural banks (Ben Abdelkader & Mansouri, 2019; Blanco-Oliver et al., 2023; Wasiaturrahma et al., 2020). Comparable trends have been reported in Malaysian Islamic microfinance institutions, attributed to inadequate client outreach and insufficient technological innovation (Amin et al., 2021; Ramlan & Adnan, 2016; Zakariyah et al., 2022). Similarly, rural non-commercial Islamic banks often experience reduced efficiency due to scale-related limitations and a lack of operational diversification (Hamad, 2014; Haq et al., 2023). In Pakistan, Lodhi (2020) found that outdated financial technologies and poor credit recovery practices hinder efficiency in Islamic microfinance banks. Likewise, in Nigeria, Islamic microfinance institutions face similar challenges, performing below their conventional counterparts due to governance issues, insufficient capital support, and inadequate human resource management (Abdullahi & Othman, 2021; Aliyu et al., 2017).

4.1.2 Determinants of Efficiency

The results of the Tobit regression analysis indicate that some variables significantly influence efficiency, while others do not. Specifically, the NPF variable (X1) shows no statistically significant effect on efficiency (Y), with a p-value of 0.0712, exceeding the significance threshold α ($0.0712 > 0.05$). In contrast, ROA (X2) has a positive and significant impact on efficiency, with a p-value of 0.0113 ($0.0113 < 0.05$). BOPO (X3) also exerts a positive and significant effect, with a p-value of 0.0479 ($0.0479 < 0.05$). Similarly, FDR (X4) demonstrates a statistically significant influence, with a p-value of 0.0449 ($0.0449 < 0.05$).

Overall, these results suggest that efficiency levels are significantly affected by three financial ratios (ROA, BOPO, and FDR), while NPF does not exhibit a significant relationship. The positive effect between ROA and efficiency implies that a higher capacity of BPRS to generate net income from its asset base reflects optimal resource utilization, enabling revenue growth without proportional increases in input. The significance of BOPO underscores the role of operating cost control in enhancing productivity. FDR's significance is consistent with the core function of BPRS as a financial intermediary, channeling deposits into productive financing. The non-significance of NPF indicates that, in this context, credit risk and loan performance do not directly translate into DEA-measured efficiency levels.

The study aligns with earlier research on rural banks in Bangladesh (Hasan, 2019; Islam & Sultana, 2019), Malaysia (Bashir & Azeez, 2022; Kamaruddin & Auzair, 2019) and India (Khan & Bhatti, 2008; Noor & Bagrecha, 2024), which found that internal cost control and efficient fund mobilization, rather than profitability indicators, serve as the primary drivers of operational efficiency in rural banks. This reinforces the importance of intermediation effectiveness within rural Islamic banking systems (Ahmed et al., 2021; Alzwi et al., 2024; Hachami et al., 2019). The findings are further consistent with evidence from Pakistan and Malaysia, where efficient fund intermediation and rigorous operational cost management were identified as critical to sustaining the performance of Islamic financial institutions (Qureshi & Hussain, 2020; Sardar & Iqbal, 2023).

Although the BPRS sector in Indonesia has seen stable institutional development, persistent global challenges remain, including inefficient resource allocation, suboptimal cost management, and technology gaps. Comparative insights from other countries underscore the need for strategic reforms in financial innovation, regulatory integration, governance digitalization, and capacity building (Fall et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2022; Wasiaturrahma et al., 2020). Furthermore, the results highlight substantial institutional variability arising from

differences in internal managerial practices and regional operational dynamics. Overall, the study underscores the centrality of operational efficiency and financing performance in maintaining BPRS competitiveness, while also providing strong empirical support for policy reforms and targeted capacity-building programs aimed at underperforming institutions.

Despite employing profit-sharing and trade-based financing mechanisms, Islamic Rural Banks (BPRS) fulfill comparable intermediation functions, thus reinforcing the theoretical legitimacy of Islamic finance within value-based financial systems. By combining DEA with the intermediation approach, the research incorporates ethical objectives such as risk-sharing and equitable wealth distribution into efficiency measurement, refining the concept of efficiency in socioeconomically constrained contexts. The application of DEA to BPRS—a culturally and geographically distinct microfinance sector—demonstrates its methodological versatility, especially for decentralized financial systems with heterogeneous outputs and data quality issues. Empirical efficiency ratings generated within this framework also support performance-based regulation, providing a dynamic benchmarking tool aligned with supervisory paradigms of regulators like OJK.

The DEA-based assessment offers a rigorous tool to evaluate operational efficiency, guiding strategies for process reengineering, human capital adjustment, and technology enhancement. Efficiency scores allow BPRS to benchmark performance against peers, while enabling regulators such as OJK and Bank Indonesia to identify underperformance, resource misallocation, and management gaps. The approach supports targeted interventions, such as customized capacity-building, IT upgrades, and product diversification—over generic policy measures. For rural banks with chronic inefficiency, DEA results can inform performance-based licensing, incentive schemes, and the integration of efficiency monitoring into compliance frameworks. Development agencies, donors, and Islamic financial associations can also use these insights to strategically allocate funds, address capability gaps, and foster digital transformation in rural financial services.

5. CONCLUSIONS, LIMITATION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

5.1 Conclusion

This study employed a two-stage Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), grounded in Financial Intermediation Theory, to comprehensively evaluate the financial efficiency of Islamic Rural Banks (BPRS) in West Java, Indonesia, over the 2019–2022 period. The findings indicate that seven out of the ten sampled BPRS consistently achieved optimal efficiency scores (DEA score = 1.00), reflecting strong control over resource-related decision-making, particularly in managing operational expenditures, fixed assets, and deposits. These institutions demonstrated the ability to generate both productivity and favorable financial outcomes. In contrast, the remaining three BPRS exhibited financial underperformance, primarily due to inefficient resource utilization and overall weak managerial and operational capabilities.

The Tobit regression analysis revealed that only a limited number of financial indicators significantly influenced efficiency. Specifically, Return on Assets (ROA), the Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR) and the Operating Expenses to Operating Income Ratio (BOPO) emerged as significant predictors of efficiency, while Non-Performing Financing (NPF) did not show statistically significant effects. These findings underscore the strategic importance of cost control and effective fund intermediation in achieving efficiency within Sharia-compliant banking, aligning with similar international studies on Islamic financial institutions in Asia and Africa.

5.2 Limitations

While demonstrating DEA's applicability to Islamic rural banking, the study faces several limitations. The chosen input-output variables that focused on assets, deposits, financing, and profit, may not fully capture non-financial dimensions such as Shariah compliance, customer satisfaction, or broader ethical objectives. The static two-stage DEA model assesses efficiency at a single point in time, limiting its ability to reflect dynamic changes due to economic shocks, regulatory shifts, or internal reforms. The dataset's geographic scope—restricted to West Java—may not represent national variations in operational conditions, and partial disclosures required data estimation, potentially affecting accuracy. Moreover, the model does not account for external macroeconomic factors, regulatory alignment issues, or internal managerial strategies, limiting its explanatory depth. Future research should incorporate longitudinal or mixed-method approaches to capture both contextual influences and internal decision-making processes.

5.3. Future Research

This research contributes both theoretically and practically to the literature in areas including regulatory frameworks, banking leadership and management, Islamic banking efficiency, and rural banking governance. Theoretically, it reinforces the role of Financial Intermediation Theory in explaining the resource–output relationships of Islamic rural banks. Practically, it offers actionable insights for policymakers, regulators, and BPRS managers on enhancing operational performance and financial sustainability through targeted decision-making, effective resource management, adherence to national banking and Islamic finance agendas, and the strategic use of technology. The novelty of this study lies in integrating a two-stage DEA with Tobit regression to simultaneously measure efficiency and identify its determinants in Islamic rural banks—an approach that has not been extensively applied in the Indonesian context. Future research could extend this work by incorporating qualitative assessments and expanding the geographic scope to examine institutional practices that shape efficiency outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Behind the useful work, there are various parties who helped to complete this article. We would like to thank the West Java BPRS for providing financial statement data on the OJK channel so that we can analyze it as material for bank evaluation studies. We would like to thank the entire academic community of the Sharia Banking study program and the Faculty of Economics and Islamic Business UIN Siber Syekh Nurjati Cirebon who helped in the maturation of ideas to the preparation of works and other technical assistance. In addition, we would also like to thank other parties who helped compile the article to completion.

STATEMENT OF DECLARATION

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study involved minimal risk and followed ethical guidelines for social science fieldwork. Formal approval from an Institutional Review Board was not required under the policies of Universitas Islam Negeri Siber Syekh Nurjati Cirebon, Indonesia. Informed verbal consent was obtained from all participants, and all data were anonymized to protect participant confidentiality.

Transparency: The authors state that the manuscript is honest, truthful, and transparent, that no key aspects of the investigation have been omitted, and that any differences from the study as planned have been clarified. This study followed all writing ethics.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Abalkhail, M., & Presley, J. R. (2002). How informal risk capital investors manage asymmetric information in profit/loss-sharing contracts. In *Islamic Banking and Finance*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/1840647876.00013>

AbdulGaniyy, A., & AbdulKareem, I. A. (2020). Islamic Banking and Global Financial Crises: A Review of Liquidity Risk Management. In *Islam Universalia: International Journal of Islamic Studies and Social Sciences* (Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 153–170). Cyber Media Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.56613/islam-universalia.v2i1.155>

Abdullah, R., & Ismail, A. G. (2017). Taking stock of the waqf-based Islamic microfinance model. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 44(8), 1018–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-06-2015-0176>

Abdullahi, A., & Othman, A. H. A. (2021). Financial inclusion enhancement through the adoption of Islamic microfinance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 37(3), 486–505. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJVES-02-2021-0040>

Addury, M. M., & Pangestu, D. R. (2023). Interactive marketing and relationship quality in Islamic banking: A Parepare case study. In *Asian Journal of Islamic Management (AJIM)* (pp. 83–95). Universitas Islam Indonesia (Islamic University of Indonesia). <https://doi.org/10.20885/ajim.vol5.iss1.art6>

Adriana, D., Sjarief, R., & Anggraeni, E. (2023). Islamic insurance and sustainable development goals in developing countries: A systematic literature review. *The International Journal of Islamic Business and Management*. <http://www.growingscholar.org/journal/index.php/TIJOSSW/article/view/389>. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10018419>

Ahmad, S., Lensink, R., & Mueller, A. (2020). The double bottom line of microfinance: A global comparison between conventional and Islamic microfinance. *World Development*, 136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2020.105130>

Ahmed, K. A., Hassan, M., & Uddin, I. (2021). Shar'i'ah Governance Framework and Perception of Islamic Banking in Pakistan. In *Journal of Islamic Business and Management (JIBM)* (Vol. 11, Issue 1). Riphah International University. <https://doi.org/10.26501/jibm/2021.1101-006>

Ahsan, T., & Qureshi, M. A. (2021). The impact of Islamic banking model and Islamic financial development on bank performance: evidence from dual banking economies. In *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management* (Vol. 15, Issue 3, pp. 602–625). Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.1108/imefm-05-2020-0248>

Al-Awlaqi, M. A., & Aamer, A. M. (2019). Financial and social efficiency in the Yemen microfinance institutions: A Hicks-Moorsteen TFP index analysis. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 12(3), 322–345. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-10-2017-0276>

Alam, N. (2013). Impact of banking regulation on risk and efficiency in Islamic banking. In *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting* (Vol. 11, Issue 1, pp. 29–50). Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-03-2013-0010>

Alandejani, M. (2022). An Overview of Efficiency and Profitability in Islamic Banking: A Comparative Study between Islamic Banking and Conventional Banking. In *Social & Management Research Journal* (Vol. 19, Issue 1, pp. 209–234). UiTM Press, Universiti Teknologi MARA. <https://doi.org/10.24191/smrj.v19i1.17675>

Alhammadi, M. A. A., Ibañez-Fernandez, A., & Vergara-Romero, A. (2024). Credit scoring and risk management in Islamic banking: the case of Al Etihad Credit Bureau. In *Revista Venezolana de Gerencia* (Vol. 29, Issue 105, pp. 111–124). Universidad del Zulia. <https://doi.org/10.52080/rvgluz.29.105.8>

Aliyu, S., Yusof, R. M., & Naiimi, N. (2017). The role of moral transaction mode for

sustainability of banking business: A proposed conceptual model for Islamic microfinance banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 44(12), 2238–2256. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-07-2016-0205>

Alzwi, A. S., Jaber, J. J., Rohuma, H. N., & Omari, R. Al. (2024). Evaluation of Total Risk-Weighted Assets in Islamic Banking through Fintech Innovations. In *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* (Vol. 17, Issue 7, p. 288). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17070288>

Amelia, E., & Hardini, E. F. (2017). Determinant of Mudharabah Financing: A Study at Indonesian Islamic Rural Banking. *ETIKONOMI*, 16(1), 43–52. <https://doi.org/10.15408/ETK.V16I1.4638>

Amin, S. I. M., Abdul-Rahman, A., & Malim, N. A. K. (2021). Liquidity risk and regulation in the Organization of the Islamic Cooperation (OIC) banking industry. In *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance* (Vol. 17, Issue 2, pp. 29–62). Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia. <https://doi.org/10.21315/aamajaf2021.17.2.2>

Arif, M. N. R. Al, & Rahmawati, Y. (2018). Determinant factors of market share: evidence from the Indonesian Islamic banking industry. In *Problems and Perspectives in Management* (Vol. 16, Issue 1, pp. 392–398). LLC CPC Business Perspectives. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.16\(1\).2018.37](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.16(1).2018.37)

Asadov, A. I. (2020). Ownership Risk in Contemporary Islamic Banking. In *Advances in Finance, Accounting, and Economics* (pp. 189–211). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-1611-9.ch011>

Aubel, M., Pikturiene, I., & Joye, Y. (2022). Risk Perception and Risk Behavior in Response to Service Robot Anthropomorphism in Banking. In *Central European Management Journal* (Vol. 30, Issue 2, pp. 26–42). Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.7206/cemj.2658-0845.74>

Azmansyah, Hayati, R., Agia, L. N., & Susanti, E. (2022). Analisis Tingkat Kesehatan Bank Dengan Metode Risk Based Bank Rating Pada Bank Pembiayaan Rakyat Syariah (Bprs) Di Provinsi Riau. In *Jurnal Tabarru': Islamic Banking and Finance* (Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp. 264–280). UIR Press. [https://doi.org/10.25299/jtb.2022.vol5\(1\).9460](https://doi.org/10.25299/jtb.2022.vol5(1).9460)

Bakhri, B. S. (2021). A Research on the Satisfaction of Islamic Banking Customer Services in Riau Province During the Covid-19 Pandemic. In *International Journal of Islamic Business and Management Review* (Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 1–10). Asosiasi Dosen Peneliti Ilmu Ekonomi dan Bisnis Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.54099/ijibmr.v1i1.44>

Bashir, R., & Azeez, A. A. (2022). Risk Management Practices Of Islamic And Conventional Banks Of Pakistan: A Comparative Study. In *International Journal of Banking and Finance* (Vol. 17). UUM Press, Universiti Utara Malaysia. <https://doi.org/10.32890/ijbf2022.17.2.3>

Beck, T., Demirgür-Kunt, A., & Merrouche, O. (2013). Islamic vs. conventional banking: Business model, efficiency and stability. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 37(2), 433–447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2012.09.016>

Bello, N., Hasan, A., & Saiti, B. (2017). The mitigation of liquidity risk in Islamic banking operations. In *Banks and Bank Systems* (Vol. 12, Issue 3, pp. 154–165). LLC CPC Business Perspectives. [https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.12\(3-1\).2017.01](https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.12(3-1).2017.01)

Ben Abdelkader, I., & Mansouri, F. (2019). Performance of microfinance institutions in the MENA region: a comparative analysis. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 46(1), 47–65. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-06-2017-0242>

Blanco-Oliver, A. J., Irimia-Diéguez, A. I., & Vázquez-Cueto, M. J. (2023). Is there an optimal microcredit size to maximize the social and financial efficiencies of microfinance institutions? *Research in International Business and Finance*, 65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2023.101980>

Budiandru, B. (2021). Default Risk on Islamic Banking in Indonesia. In *Journal of Economics, Business, & Accountancy Ventura* (Vol. 24, Issue 1, pp. 49–62). STIE Perbanas Surabaya. <https://doi.org/10.14414/jebav.v24i1.2474>

Cameron, A., Oak, M., & Shan, Y. (2021). Peer monitoring and Islamic microfinance. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 184, 337–358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JEBO.2021.02.001>

Chali, M. T., Eshete, S. K., & Debela, K. L. (2022). Learning how research design methods work: A review of Creswell's research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches. *The Qualitative Report*, 27(12), 2956–2960.

Chenguel, M. B. (2019). The determinants affecting the preference of Islamic banking services in Tunisia. In *International Journal of Decision Sciences, Risk and Management* (Vol. 8, Issue 3, p. 135). InderScience Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijdsrm.2019.099690>

Chowdhury, A. I., & Uddin, M. S. (2021). Artificial intelligence, financial risk management, and Islamic finance. In *Artificial Intelligence and Islamic Finance* (pp. 181–192). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003171638-12>

Creswell, J. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches*. <https://revistapsicologia.org/public/formato/cuali2.pdf>

DeMeo, R. E. (2016). Quantitative Risk Management Tools for Practitioners. In *Commercial Banking Risk Management* (pp. 253–280). Palgrave Macmillan US. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-59442-6_12

Elkream, B. H. G. (2017). Impact of Islamic Securitization (Sukuk) on Islamic Banks Liquidity Risk in Light of Basel III Requirements. In *International Journal of Finance & Banking Studies* (2147-4486) (Vol. 6, Issue 1, pp. 85–100). Center for Strategic Studies in Business and Finance SSBFNET. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijfbs.v6i1.669>

Fadlyanti, P. N., & Sutrisno, S. (2023). Determining Factors of Financing Scheme Cases on Islamic Banking in Indonesia. In *International Journal Of Multidisciplinary Research And Analysis* (Vol. 6). Everant Journals. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmra/v6-i8-38>

Fall, F., Akim, A. mouksit, & Wassongma, H. (2018). DEA and SFA research on the efficiency of microfinance institutions: A meta-analysis. *World Development*, 107, 176–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.02.032>

Fan, Y., John, K., Liu, F. H., & Tamanni, L. (2019). Security design, incentives, and Islamic microfinance: Cross country evidence. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 62, 264–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2019.08.002>

Fathimah, V. (2023). Analysis of Customer Decision Determinants in Choosing Islamic Banking Products. In *Outline Journal of Management and Accounting* (Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 56–64). Outline Publisher. <https://doi.org/10.61730/ojma.v2i1.167>

Fianto, B. A., Maulida, H., & Laila, N. (2019). Determining factors of non-performing financing in Islamic microfinance institutions. *Heliyon*, 5(8), e02301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELION.2019.E02301>

Hachami, K. El, Alaoui, Y. L., & Tkiouat, M. (2019). Sectorial evaluation of Islamic banking contracts: a fuzzy multi-criteria-decision-making approach. In *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* (Vol. 16, Issue 2, pp. 370–382). LLC CPC Business Perspectives. [https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.16\(2\).2019.31](https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.16(2).2019.31)

Hakim, M. L. (2023). Financial Technology Strategy for Islamic Banking Competitiveness. In *AL-ARBAH: Journal of Islamic Finance and Banking* (Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp. 99–104). UIN Walisongo Semarang. <https://doi.org/10.21580/al-arbah.2023.5.1.17998>

Halik, M., Zaroni, A. N., & Mainata, D. (2022). Intermediation Of Islamic Commercial Banks In Real Time Gross Settlement Transactions Of Islamic Banking In Indonesia. In *Journal of Management and Islamic Finance* (Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 105–118). IAIN

Surakarta. <https://doi.org/10.22515/jmif.v2i1.5183>

Hamad, M. (2014). A Comparison of Interest-Free and Interest-Based Microfinance in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *European Researcher*, 79(7-2), 1333–1350. <https://doi.org/10.13187/ER.2014.2.1333>

Hammadi, M. Al, Rio, J. A. J.-D., Ochoa-Rico, M. S., Montero, O. A., & Vergara-Romero, A. (2024). Risk Management in Islamic Banking: The Impact of Financial Technologies through Empirical Insights from the UAE. In *Risks* (Vol. 12, Issue 2, p. 17). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/risks12020017>

Haq, M. Z., Handoko, L. H., & Rasyid, A. A. (2023). Analysis of the Influence of Perceived Benefits, Easiness and Risk on Students' Interest in Using QRIS. In *Journal of Business Management and Islamic Banking* (pp. 95–116). Al-Jamiah Research Centre. <https://doi.org/10.14421/jbmib.v2i2.2075>

Hasan, M. (2019). Islamic Banking in Bangladesh: Progress and Potentials. In *International Journal of Tax Economics and Management* (pp. 42–61). Seagull Publications. <https://doi.org/10.35935/tax/27.6142>

Iqbal, N., Laghari, D. A. M., & Mohsin, M. (2019). Nexus between Liquidity Risk and Islamic Banking Performance. In *rahatalquloob* (pp. 63–70). Sir Syed College of Education. [https://doi.org/10.36902/rahat-vol3-iss2-2019\(63-70](https://doi.org/10.36902/rahat-vol3-iss2-2019(63-70)

Islam, S., & Sultana, T. (2019). Practice of Islamic Financial Management in Bangladesh: Evidence from Islamic Banks. In *International Journal of Islamic Banking and Finance Research* (Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp. 1–12). Centre for Research on Islamic Banking and Finance and Business. <https://doi.org/10.46281/ijibfr.v3i1.227>

Issa, J. S., & Abbaszadeh, M. R. (2023). The Effect of Corporate Governance in Islamic Banking on the Agility of Iraqi Banks. In *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* (Vol. 16, Issue 6, p. 292). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16060292>

Kamaruddin, M. I. H., & Auzair, S. M. (2019). Integrated Islamic Financial Accountability Model for Islamic Social Enterprise (ISE). *The Journal of Muamalat and Islamic Finance Research*, 17–36. <https://doi.org/10.33102/JMIFR.V16I1.206>

Kamla, R., & Rammal, H. G. (2013). Social reporting by Islamic banks: Does social justice matter? *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 26(6), 911–945. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-03-2013-1268>

Khan, M. M., & Bhatti, M. I. (2008). Development in Islamic banking: a financial risk-allocation approach. In *The Journal of Risk Finance* (Vol. 9, Issue 1, pp. 40–51). Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.1108/15265940810842401>

Khir, M. F. A., & Mohamed, I. (2023). Applying Risk-Taking in Islamic Banking and Finance from the Perspective of Islamic Jurisprudence. In *International Journal of Management and Applied Research* (Vol. 10, Issue 1, pp. 63–80). New Millennium Discoveries. <https://doi.org/10.18646/2056.101.23-005>

Kloke, J., & McKean, J. (2024). *Nonparametric statistical methods using R*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.

Lebdaoui, H., & Wild, J. (2016). Islamic banking presence and economic growth in Southeast Asia. In *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management* (Vol. 9, Issue 4, pp. 551–569). Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.1108/imefm-03-2015-0037>

Lewis, M. K., Ariff, M., & Mohamad, S. (2014). *Risk and Regulation of Islamic Banking*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781783476138>

Liu, Z., Vu, T. L., Phan, T. T. H., Ngo, T. Q., Anh, N. H. V., & Putra, A. R. S. (2022). Financial inclusion and green economic performance for energy efficiency finance. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 55(4), 2359–2389. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10644-022-09393-5>

Lodhi, R. N. (2020). Awareness, Understanding, and Usage of Islamic Banking Products and

Services: A Case of Customers' Satisfaction Towards Islamic Banking in Pakistan. In *Islamic Banking and Finance Review* (Vol. 7, p. 1). University of Management and Technology. <https://doi.org/10.32350/ibfr/2020/0700/757>

Maharani, N. K. (2018). Do Risk, Business Cycle, and Competition Affect Capital Buffer? An Empirical Study on Islamic Banking in ASEAN and MENA. In *Journal of Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance* (Vol. 3, Issue 2, p. 181). Bank Indonesia, Central Banking Research Department. <https://doi.org/10.21098/jimf.v3i2.756>

Maharani, N. K., & Setiyono, B. (2018). Do risk, business cycle, and competition affect capital buffer? An empirical study on islamic banking in asean and MENA. In *Journal of Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance* (Vol. 3, Issue 2). Bank Indonesia, Central Banking Research Department. <https://doi.org/10.21098/jimf.v3i2.888>

Mahyudin, W. A. tira., & Rosman, R. (2022). Performance of Islamic banks based on *maqāṣid al-shari‘ah*: a systematic review of current research. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 13(4), 714–735. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-10-2020-0337>

Maknuun, L. Il, Nugroho, M., & Riyadi, S. (2022). effect of macroeconomics, capital, and asset growth on financial risk, earning and Islamic corporate social responsibility disclosure with corporate governance (GCG) as a moderating variable in Indonesian Islamic banking companies. In *International journal of business, economics & management* (Vol. 5, Issue 4, pp. 340–353). Scientific and Literature Open Access Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.21744/ijbem.v5n4.1989>

Masruroh, S. A. (2022). The Influence of Asset Growth, Profitability, and Firm Size on the Capital Structure of Islamic Banking in the World Period 2011-2020. In *Journal of Economics, Finance And Management Studies* (Vol. 5, Issue 6). Everant Journals. <https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v5-i6-11>

Mennawi, A. N. A. (2020). The The Impact of Liquidity, Credit, and Financial Leverage Risks on Financial Performance of Islamic Banks: A Case of Sudanese Banking Sector. In *Risk and Financial Management* (Vol. 2, Issue 2). Ideas Spread. <https://doi.org/10.30560/rfm.v2n2p59>

Miah, M. D., & Uddin, H. (2017). Efficiency and stability: A comparative study between islamic and conventional banks in GCC countries. *Future Business Journal*, 3(2), 172–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbj.2017.11.001>

Mindra, R., Bananuka, J., Kaawaase, T., Namaganda, R., & Teko, J. (2022). Attitude and Islamic banking adoption: moderating effects of pricing of conventional bank products and social influence. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 13(3), 534–567. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-02-2021-0068>

Mohamed, T. S., & Elgammal, M. M. (2023). Credit risk in Islamic microfinance institutions: The role of women, groups, and rural borrowers. *Emerging Markets Review*, 54, 100994. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EMEMAR.2022.100994>

Mohd Thas Thaker, M. A. Bin, & Mohd Thas Thaker, H. Bin. (2016). Adopting Zero Interest Financing Model (ZIFM) in Islamic Microfinance Institutions: The Case of Shariah People Credit Bank (BPRS), Indonesia. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 23, 02003. <https://doi.org/10.1051/SHSCONF/20162302003>

Mohsin, M., Nurunnabi, M., Zhang, J., Sun, H., Iqbal, N., Iram, R., & Abbas, Q. (2021). The evaluation of efficiency and value addition of IFRS endorsement towards earnings timeliness disclosure. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 26(2), 1793–1807. <https://doi.org/10.1002/IJFE.1878>

Muslichah, I., & Sanusi, S. (2019). The effect of religiosity and financial literacy on intention to use Islamic banking products. In *Asian Journal of Islamic Management (AJIM)* (Vol. 1, Issue 2, pp. 85–92). Universitas Islam Indonesia (Islamic University of Indonesia).

https://doi.org/10.20885/ajim.vol1.iss2.art2

Noor, M. A., & Bagrecha, D. C. (2024). Islamic Banking: An Approach towards Alternative Banking System in India. In *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews* (Vol. 5, Issue 4, pp. 6090–6096). Genesis Global Publication. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0424.1077

Nosheen, M., & Rashid, A. (2020). Nexus between Economic Growth, Investment, and Islamic Banking Development: The Case of Dual Banking System. In *Journal of Islamic Business and Management (JIBM)* (Vol. 10, Issue 2). Riphah International University. https://doi.org/10.26501/jibm/2020.1002-005

Okello Candiya Bongomin, G., Chrysostome, E., Nkongolo-Bakenda, J. M., & Yourougou, P. (2024). Credit counselling: a contemporary strategy for survival of micro small and medium-sized enterprises in under-developed financial markets post COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Public Policy*, 13(2), 200–233. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEPP-06-2023-0053

Özdemir, M. (2022). Can Microfinance Be a Solution for the Social Failure of Islamic Banks? A Linkage Model Proposal. *Institutional Islamic Economics and Finance*, 80–97. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003227649-7

Özdemir, M., Savasan, F., & Ulev, S. (2023). Leveraging financial inclusion through Islamic microfinance: A new model proposal for participation banks in Turkiye. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 23(3), 709–722. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIR.2023.01.011

Pertiwi, R. R. (2023). Evolving Service Innovation for The Late-mover Industry. In *Journal of Business Management and Islamic Banking* (Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 65–76). Al-Jamiah Research Centre. https://doi.org/10.14421/jbmib.2023.0201-05

Puteri, H. E. (2023). Decision to Adopt Islamic Banking: Examining the Effects of Disposable Income and Islamic Financial Literacy. In *JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES* (Vol. 6). Everant Journals. https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v6-i8-35

Qureshi, M. H., & Hussain, T. (2020). Trend Analysis of Islamic Financing: A Case of Islamic Banks in Malaysia. In *Journal of Islamic Business and Management (JIBM)* (Vol. 10, Issue 1). Riphah International University. https://doi.org/10.26501/jibm/2020.1001-006

Ramlan, H., & Adnan, M. S. (2016). The Profitability of Islamic and Conventional Bank: Case Study in Malaysia. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 35, 359–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(16)00044-7

Riduwan, R., & Danupranata, G. (2020). Risk Analysis of Sharia Bank Financing Contract. In *Ihtifaz: Journal of Islamic Economics, Finance, and Banking* (Vol. 3, Issue 1, p. 1). Universitas Ahmad Dahlan. https://doi.org/10.12928/ijiefb.v3i1.1943

Rohman, P. S., Fianto, B. A., Ali Shah, S. A., Kayani, U. N., Suprayogi, N., & Supriani, I. (2021). A review on literature of Islamic microfinance from 2010-2020: lesson for practitioners and future directions. *Helijon*, 7(12), e08549. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELJON.2021.E08549

Ropiah, S. (2025). Implications of Islamic Law on Credit-Based Sales in Reducing Social and Economic Inequalities in Society. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mizani*, 12(1), 185–195. https://doi.org/10.29300/MZN.V12I1.7091

Sadali, A., Adinugraha, H. H., & ... (2024). Islamic Economic Review Of Consumption: A Systematic Literature Review Approach. *JIM: Journal* http://azramedia-indonesia.azramediaindonesia.com/index.php/JIM/article/view/1029.https://doi.org/10.62668/jim.v3i01.1029

Sang, N. M. (2024). Evolution and future directions of banking risk management research: A bibliometric analysis. In *Banks and Bank Systems* (Vol. 19, Issue 2, pp. 1–14). LLC CPC

Business Perspectives. [https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.19\(2\).2024.01](https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.19(2).2024.01)

Santosa, P. W., Setianingrum, A., & Huda, N. (2020). The Relationship of Macro-risk Indicators, Internal Factors, and Risk Profile of Islamic Banking in Indonesia. In *ETIKONOMI* (Vol. 19, Issue 2). LP2M Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta. <https://doi.org/10.15408/etk.v19i2.15528>

Sanyinna, A. Y., & Omar, H. H. (2017). Frontiers and Mechanics of Risk Management in Islamic Banking System - Policy Measures for Effective Risk Mitigation. In *Journal of Islamic Banking and Finance*. American Research Institute for Policy Development. <https://doi.org/10.15640/jibf.v5n1a4>

Sardar, S., & Iqbal, M. F. (2023). Risk Management in Islamic Banking System: An Overview of Current Modules. In *Journal of Religious and Social Studies* (Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp. 11–26). Pakistan Society of Religious and Social Studies -PSRSS. <https://doi.org/10.53583/jrss05.02.2023>

Sari, N., Ibrahim, A., Muzammil, M., & Muksal, M. (2024). Managing Financing Risk Of Islamic Banking Products In Indonesia: A Value At Risk Approach. In *Jurnal Ilmiah Islam Futura* (Vol. 24, Issue 1). Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry. <https://doi.org/10.22373/jiif.v24i1.17693>

Sartono, S., Sapta, A. E., & Pratama, I. R. (2023). Factors Influencing Third-Party Funds of Islamic Bank: A Case Study in Indonesia. In *e-Academia Journal* (Vol. 12, Issue 2, pp. 105–118). UiTM Press, Universiti Teknologi MARA. <https://doi.org/10.24191/eaj.v12i2.23237>

Setiawan, I. (2021). The Impact of Financing Risk on Islamic Banking Performance in Indonesia. In *Share: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Keuangan Islam* (Vol. 10, Issue 2, p. 212). Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry. <https://doi.org/10.22373/share.v10i2.9400>

Setiawan, R. A. (2023). Implementation of Basel Accords and Implications for Islamic Banking. In *The Future of Islamic Banking and Finance in Indonesia* (pp. 113–129). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003393986-7>

Shahzadi1, K., MALIK, H., Shabbir, M. S., & Yasmind, A. (2021). Factors Affecting Profit Distribution Management of Islamic Banks: Moderating Role of Corporate Governance. In *Islamic Banking and Finance Review* (Vol. 8, Issue 1, pp. 78–102). University of Management and Technology. <https://doi.org/10.32350/ibfr/2021/08/1624>

SHAIKH, S. A. (2022). Factors Affecting Liquidity Risk in Islamic Banking in Pakistan. In *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Governance* (Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp. 1–18). Minhaj University, Lahore. <https://doi.org/10.58932/muld0009>

Shirazi, N. S. (2019). Islamic safety nets for the poor. In *The Growth of Islamic Finance and Banking* (pp. 314–329). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429262432-20>

Smeeton, N., Spencer, N. H., & Sprent, P. (2025). *Applied nonparametric statistical methods*. CRC press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2347774>

Suhartini, N., Tamika, R. A., & Nor, A. (2024). Investment Risk Management in Sharia Banking : A Literature Study. In *Journal of Islamic Economics and Philanthropy* (Vol. 6, Issue 4, pp. 311–324). Universitas Darussalam Gontor. <https://doi.org/10.21111/jiep.v6i4.11091>

Sutrisno, S., Panggalo, L., Asir, M., Yusuf, M., & ... (2023). Literature Review: Mitigasi Resiko dan Prosedur Penyelamatan pada Sistem Perkreditan Rakyat. *Journal of ...* <https://journal.ipm2kpe.or.id/index.php/COSTING/article/view/4879>

Syahid, M., & Noviariita, H. (2022). The Implementation Of Working Capital Financing's Islamic Bank Viewed From An Islamic Economics Perspective. In *Jurnal Tabarru': Islamic Banking and Finance* (Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp. 281–290). UIR Press. [https://doi.org/10.25299/jtb.2022.vol5\(2\).9773](https://doi.org/10.25299/jtb.2022.vol5(2).9773)

Takona, J. P. (2024). *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods*

approaches. *Quality & Quantity*, 58(1), 1011–1013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-023-01798-2>

Ülev, S., Savaşan, F., & Özdemir, M. (2023). Do Islamic microfinance institutions affect the socio-economic development of the beneficiaries? The evidence from Turkey. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 39(2), 286–311. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-09-2021-0179>

Wajdi Dusuki, A. (2008). Banking for the poor: The role of Islamic banking in microfinance initiatives. *Humanomics*, 24(1), 49–66. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08288660810851469>

Wasiaturrahma, Sukmana, R., Ajija, S. R., Salama, S. C. U., & Hudaifah, A. (2020). Financial performance of rural banks in Indonesia: A two-stage DEA approach. *Heliyon*, 6(7), e04390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04390>

Widiarto, I., & Emrouznejad, A. (2015). Social and financial efficiency of Islamic microfinance institutions: A Data Envelopment Analysis application. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 50, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPS.2014.12.001>

Widiastuti, T., Ningsih, S., Prasetyo, A., Mawardi, I., Herianingrum, S., Robani, A., Al Mustofa, M. U., & Hady, A. F. (2022). Developing an integrated model of Islamic social finance: toward an effective governance framework. *Heliyon*, 8(9). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e10383>

Yusfiarto, R. (2021). The relationship between m-banking service quality and loyalty: evidence in Indonesian Islamic Banking. In *Asian Journal of Islamic Management (AJIM)* (Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp. 23–33). Universitas Islam Indonesia (Islamic University of Indonesia). <https://doi.org/10.20885/ajim.vol3.iss1.art3>

Zaini, F., & Kusuma, A. S. K. (2024). Market Dominance in Indonesia's Islamic Banking: Critical Analysis From an Industrial Organisation Perspective. In *Journal of Finance and Islamic Banking* (Vol. 7, Issue 1). IAIN Surakarta. <https://doi.org/10.22515/jfib.v7i1.8827>

Zakariyah, H., Salaudeen, A. O., Othman, A. H. A., & Rosman, R. (2022). Enhancing waqf management through fintech in Malaysia A Conceptual Framework on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). In *Journal of Emerging Economies and Islamic Research* (Vol. 10, Issue 2, pp. 62–73). UiTM Press, Universiti Teknologi MARA. <https://doi.org/10.24191/jeeir.v10i2.17953>

Financial Efficiency of Islamic Rural Banks in Indonesia: A Two-Stage DEA Approach

ORIGINALITY REPORT



PRIMARY SOURCES

1	archive.conscientiabeam.com Internet Source	1 %
2	www-emerald-com-443.webvpn.sxu.edu.cn Internet Source	1 %
3	Submitted to Universitas Airlangga-1 Student Paper	<1 %
4	Putri Zafira, Renita Sugiarti. "Pengaruh Citra Perusahaan, Iklan dan Kualitas Layanan terhadap Keputusan Nasabah Memilih Produk Tabungan IB Amanah di BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman Cabang Bandung", <i>Jurnal Dimamu</i> , 2022 Publication	<1 %
5	ijbms.net Internet Source	<1 %
6	www.sciencegate.app Internet Source	<1 %
7	managementworld.online Internet Source	<1 %
8	repository.uinsaizu.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
9	documents.mx Internet Source	<1 %
10	ir.uitm.edu.my Internet Source	<1 %

11	journal.adpebi.com Internet Source	<1 %
12	stmlportal.net Internet Source	<1 %
13	archive.org Internet Source	<1 %
14	www.open-access.bcu.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
15	journal.areai.or.id Internet Source	<1 %
16	repositori.usu.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
17	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source	<1 %
18	"Artificial Intelligence and Economic Sustainability in the Era of Industrial Revolution 5.0", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2024 Publication	<1 %
19	Nur Dyah Nastiti, Rahmatina Awaliah Kasri. "The role of banking regulation in the development of Islamic banking financing in Indonesia", International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management, 2019 Publication	<1 %
20	dspace.univ-adrar.edu.dz Internet Source	<1 %
21	"The Palgrave Handbook of fintech in Africa and Middle East", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2025 Publication	<1 %

22 M. Jihadi, Elok Vilantika, Bambang Widagdo, Fatmawati Sholichah, Yanuar Bachtiar. "Islamic social reporting on value of the firm: Evidence from Indonesia Sharia Stock Index", Cogent Business & Management, 2021
Publication <1 %

23 Maila D.H. Rahiem. "Towards Resilient Societies: The Synergy of Religion, Education, Health, Science, and Technology", CRC Press, 2025
Publication <1 %

24 H. Şaduman Okumuş. "Performance Assessment of Participation Banks Based on Maqasid al-Shari'ah Framework: Evidence from Türkiye", Borsa İstanbul Review, 2024
Publication <1 %

25 www.adb.org <1 %
Internet Source

26 www.afbe.biz <1 %
Internet Source

27 www.researchgate.net <1 %
Internet Source

28 archive.aessweb.com <1 %
Internet Source

29 journal.iain-manado.ac.id <1 %
Internet Source

30 journal.uinsgd.ac.id <1 %
Internet Source

31 jprpk.com <1 %
Internet Source

32 myscholar.umk.edu.my <1 %
Internet Source

33	doaj.org Internet Source	<1 %
34	ejournal.unuja.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
35	hrcak.srce.hr Internet Source	<1 %
36	library.oopen.org Internet Source	<1 %
37	A.J. Blanco-Oliver, A.I. Irimia-Diéquez, M.J. Vázquez-Cueto. "Is there an optimal microcredit size to maximize the social and financial efficiencies of microfinance institutions?", <i>Research in International Business and Finance</i> , 2023 Publication	<1 %
38	Abderrazak Belabes. "Habs as Common Living Heritage: Beyond the Cash Waqf as Deep Financial Innovation", <i>Asy-Syari'ah</i> , 2025 Publication	<1 %
39	Anwar Sadat, Herman Lawelai, Muh Askal Basir. "Integrating Dynamic Governance into Sustainable Tourism Management: A Framework For Socio-Ecological Development", <i>International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning</i> , 2025 Publication	<1 %
40	Choirul Anwar, Lili Puspita Sari, Hotman Hotman. "FACTORS ON NON-PERFORMING FINANCING OF SMES AT BPRS IN INDONESIA", <i>FINANSIA : Jurnal Akuntansi dan Perbankan Syariah</i> , 2025 Publication	<1 %
41	Roni Andespa, Yulia Hendri Yeni, Yudi Fernando, Dessy Kurnia Sari. "A systematic	<1 %

review of customer Sharia compliance behaviour in Islamic banks: determinants and behavioural intention", Journal of Islamic Marketing, 2023

Publication

42 Susanne Gretzinger, Birgit Leick, Teemu Makkonen. "Sustainability and the Rural Enterprise Economy - Economic, Environmental and Social Transformation", Routledge, 2025 **<1 %**
Publication

43 altinriset.com **<1 %**
Internet Source

44 discol.umk.edu.my **<1 %**
Internet Source

45 ebin.pub **<1 %**
Internet Source

46 ejournal.uniska-kediri.ac.id **<1 %**
Internet Source

47 journal.sbm.itb.ac.id **<1 %**
Internet Source

48 journal.ump.edu.my **<1 %**
Internet Source

49 jurnal-dikpora.jogjaprov.go.id **<1 %**
Internet Source

50 repository.unisbabilitar.ac.id **<1 %**
Internet Source

51 sdfdwk3223.s3.ap-northeast-1.amazonaws.com **<1 %**
Internet Source

52 www.lippokarawaci.co.id **<1 %**
Internet Source

53	www.syekhnurjati.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
54	Abdullah İlkaz, Ferhan Çebi. "chapter 8 Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Model for Evaluation of Financial Performance of Insurance Companies", IGI Global, 2024 Publication	<1 %
55	Asian Journal on Quality, Volume 11, Issue 1 (2012-08-06) Publication	<1 %
56	China Development Research Foundation. "Developing Commercial Health Insurance During the 14th Five-Year Plan Period", Routledge, 2025 Publication	<1 %
57	Erika Septiani, Lina Nugraha Rani. "ANALISIS TINGKAT EFISIENSI BANK PEMBIAYAAN RAKYAT SYARIAH (BPRS) PERIODE 2012-2018 MENGGUNAKAN METODE DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS (DEA)", Jurnal Ekonomi Syariah Teori dan Terapan, 2020 Publication	<1 %
58	Han Phoumin, Farhad Taghizadeh-Hesary, Fukunari Kimura, Rabindra Nepal. "Green Finance and Decarbonization - Advancing Low-Carbon Technologies in East and Southeast Asia", Routledge, 2025 Publication	<1 %
59	Rindang Nuri Isnaini Nugrohowati. "Measuring The Efficiency of Indonesian Sharia Rural Banks: Two Stage Approach", Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan: Kajian Masalah Ekonomi dan Pembangunan, 2019 Publication	<1 %

60	Samuel Adomako, Francis Donbesuur, Kwabena Frimpong, Robert Opoku, Marcia Mkansi, Stella Nyongesa. "Inclusive Entrepreneurship in Africa", Routledge, 2025	<1 %
Publication		
61	cdn.unicaf.org	<1 %
	Internet Source	
62	etd.uum.edu.my	<1 %
	Internet Source	
63	iaeme.com	<1 %
	Internet Source	
64	ideas.repec.org	<1 %
	Internet Source	
65	ijefm.co.in	<1 %
	Internet Source	
66	journal.uinsi.ac.id	<1 %
	Internet Source	
67	journal.umy.ac.id	<1 %
	Internet Source	
68	journals.economic-research.pl	<1 %
	Internet Source	
69	sajhrm.co.za	<1 %
	Internet Source	
70	tujise.org	<1 %
	Internet Source	
71	wikep.net	<1 %
	Internet Source	
72	www.businessperspectives.org	<1 %
	Internet Source	
73	www.scilit.net	<1 %
	Internet Source	

74 Agus Widarjono. "Determinant of sharia rural bank profitability: Do size and location matter?", *Muqtasid: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Perbankan Syariah*, 2025 <1 %
Publication

75 Nazratul Aina Mohamad Anwar, Hafezali Iqbal Hussain, Fakarudin Kamarudin, Fadzlan Sufian, Nurazilah Zainal, Che Mun Wong. "Impact of regulatory efficiency and market openness to social and financial efficiency: empirical evidence from microfinance institutions", *Society and Business Review*, 2021 <1 %
Publication

76 Prita Puji Setiati, Mimin Mintarsih Mintarsih, Bahmid I Magi. "Pengaruh Dana Pihak Ketiga dan Total Aset Terhadap Return On Assets di BPRS Al Salaam Amal Salman Periode 2016-2020", *Jurnal Dimamu*, 2021 <1 %
Publication

77 Umar Butt, Trevor Chamberlain. "Performance of Islamic Banks During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Empirical Analysis and Comparison with Conventional Banking", *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 2025 <1 %
Publication

78 Hussain Mohi-ud-Din Qadri, M. Ishaq Bhatti, Mohd Azmi Omar. "The Routledge Handbook of Islamic Economics and Finance", Routledge, 2025 <1 %
Publication

79 Mahmoud Marzouk, Khaled Hussainey. "Corporate Narrative Reporting - Beyond the Numbers", Routledge, 2022 <1 %

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches < 1 words
Exclude bibliography On

Financial Efficiency of Islamic Rural Banks in Indonesia: A Two-Stage DEA Approach

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12

PAGE 13

PAGE 14

PAGE 15

PAGE 16

PAGE 17

PAGE 18

PAGE 19

PAGE 20