Social & Legal Studies SLS-21-0174

1 pesan

Social & Legal Studies <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Balas Ke: jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com Kepada: achmadkholiq67@yahoo.com, iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id

12-Aug-2021

Dear Dr. Halimatusa'diyah:

Your manuscript entitled "Does Gender Blindness Improve Gender Equality? Female Judges and Glass Ceiling Effect in Islamic Judiciary System in Indonesia" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in Social & Legal Studies.

Your manuscript ID is SLS-21-0174.

You have listed the following individuals as authors of this manuscript: Kholiq, Achmad; Halimatusa'diyah, lim

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Center after logging in to https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls.

As part of our commitment to ensuring an ethical, transparent and fair peer review process SAGE is a supporting member of ORCID, the Open Researcher and Contributor ID (https://orcid.org/). We encourage all authors and co-authors to use ORCID iDs during the peer review process. If you have not already logged in to your account on this journal's ScholarOne Manuscripts submission site in order to update your account information and provide your ORCID identifier, we recommend that you do so at this time by logging in and editing your account information. In the event that your manuscript is accepted, only ORCID iDs during the Production steps. If you do not already have an ORCID iD you may login to your ScholarOne account to create your unique identifier and automatically add it to your profile.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Social & Legal Studies.

Sincerely, Jeanette McCormick Social & Legal Studies jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com

Social & Legal Studies - Decision on Manuscript ID SLS-21-0174

7 pesan

Social & Legal Studies <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Balas Ke: jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com Kepada: iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id 26 Oktober 2021 23.08

26-Oct-2021

Dear Dr. Halimatusa'diyah:

26-Oct-2021

Dear Dr. Halimatusa'diyah,

I write regarding your Manuscript ID SLS-21-0174 entitled "Does Gender Blindness Improve Gender Equality? Female Judges and Glass Ceiling Effect in Islamic Judiciary System in Indonesia" which you submitted to Social & Legal Studies. Your manuscript has now been reviewed by two members of our editorial board and you will find their comments at the bottom of this letter.

I am afraid that the reviewers have not recommended publication of your manuscript in its current form. However, there was sufficient interest in the manuscript that I can offer you the opportunity to 'revise and resubmit' in line with the reviewers' comments. Should you take up this offer, your manuscript will be subject to re-review. Although I cannot commit the journal to publication, I would be pleased to see a revised version in due course.

As you see from their comments, both reviewers thought the paper was engaging, but each offered suggestions for restructuring and refocusing it, including adding discussion about why a diverse judiciary is important, and about why the glass ceiling might exist in the first place. I agree that the paper would be stronger if these ideas were addressed, but of course leave it up to you to consider how best to do so.

To revise your manuscript, log into https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to Social & Legal Studies, your revised manuscript should be uploaded within a reasonable time. If that is not possible, we will, of course, consider your manuscript as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to Social & Legal Studies .

Yours sincerely, Professor Alison Diduck Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The author presented an insightful paper into the reasons for a gender disparity in the Indonesian court system, especially as regards senior judicial positions. The subject of the paper would be of interest to readers of Social & Legal Studies. However, while I found the paper engaging, I do not think it is yet in a form that can be accepted for publication.

The author covered a lot of ground in the paper, and there were clearly a number of recurring themes throughout. At the moment I think the paper perhaps focuses more on the consequences of the glass ceiling, rather than the reason it exists. The consequences of the glass ceiling are interesting, but the reasons for their existence would tell us something about how to remedy the situation (if the author thinks a remedy is required). I wonder if the author would consider how the paper might be restructured to more systematically work through these themes in order to explain their significance individually, and collectively?

For my part, I would suggest reworking the paper around some key themes, clearly delineated by sub-headings, which demonstrate the glass ceiling/s created by gender blindness in the minds of judges, as well as the judicial appointment and promotions structures of the Indonesian judiciary and elsewhere. Sub-themes, based on what the author discusses in their paper, could include – religious expectations of women's societal / professional / familial roles and the intersection of these, societal (as distinct from narrowly religious) expectations of women's roles / occupations, familial / marital expectations, expectations / beliefs of senior colleagues involved in the appointments and promotions process (and, by extension, the role of legislative frameworks for promoting equality / equity of opportunity), expectations / beliefs of male judicial colleagues, and, crucially, women judges' own expectations and aspirations for their careers / lives in general. All of these appeared, from the work discussed in the paper, to be individually significant, and to collectively contribute to either creating various glass ceilings in different contexts, or numerous ways in which a single substantial glass ceiling is created and reinforced. The author knows the data best and may, of course, identify alternative themes.

The only other minor points I would note are:

- Could the author refer to the Global South / Global North instead of developing / developed countries as relevant in the paper?

- Could the author note that research / ethical approval for the research was obtained from their institution, and / or any other relevant approvals required to conduct the research (e.g. permission from the relevant judicial body or similar)?

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

This is an interesting article about the "glass ceiling" effect for women judges within the Indonesian Islamic judiciary. It is an original study that uses quantitative and qualitative data (statistics and interviews with judges) to argue that despite a degree of formal gender blindness in the recruitment and training of judges (though what about pathways into the profession, eg through law school and beyond, are they also gender neutral but lacking in parity?), inherent norms and stereotypes prevail. Therefore, a lack of gender equality in how women are promoted and allocated work within the system is masked, and gender parity particular at high level positions is not being achieved. The paper presents some valuable insights, and was an engaging read. It would certainly be of interest to SLS readers. However it needs more work before it can be published here.

There are two main issues I would like to see addressed. The first is some engagement with the question of what difference more female judges on the bench might make, beyond the issue of representation. Much has been written about this, particularly in the context of Feminist Judgments Projects, about whether female judges in practice do or can come to different outcomes or use different reasoning etc than their male counterparts. This issue is touched on briefly when discussing how female and male judges might approach alimony on divorce differently (p18-19), but the broader question of what difference having more women on the bench might make is not properly addressed (bearing in mind that only some female judges will "improve" judicial outcomes, as stated on p19.) I think this is key to deepening understanding of why diversity on the bench is important - different perspectives and the discretion that can be applied through application of that perspective is a central part of the arguments for diversity. This leads to the second thing I think should be integrated and that is the work of Feminist Judgments Projects themselves, which is not mentioned. These projects interrogate what it means to be a woman as opposed to a feminist judge, and I think acknowledging the work of Rosemary Hunter and the words of many others (including Brenda Hale and Sonia Sotomayer) is important here. There is a burgeoning feminist judgments project Pakistan which might be especially interesting area for the authors to look at.

It would also be helpful for the authors to explain why it was important to interview both male and female judges, rather than just women about their experiences; and how the judges were sampled.

More minor issues:

Can the abbreviations in brackets after each interview quote be explained somewhere?

Some sentences/points needs clarified, and arguments unpacked a bit further, eg a few of the sentences in the last para on p8.

Does Indonesia still have the largest Muslim population? the reference is from 2007. Similarly the references on p8 are quite old.

What is the "global transformation of the legal system" (which legal system??) referred to in the intro? the sentence in which that phrase appears is also circular, saying the same thing three times.

Work on women judges referenced in the Intro could include Constance Backhouse's book on L'Heureux Dubé, and the edited collection on Bertha Wilson, by Kim Brooks.

Could an example be given from interviews re male judges thinking female judges are incompetent? (p17) The article also needs a really thorough proof read for english grammar and sense (eg the third sentence of the second para on p8 beginning "The important characteristics", and the last but one sentence of the last full para on p15, beginning "These merit based perspectives". Members of judges doesn't quite make sense - should it be members of the judiciary, or judicial members?

Social & Legal Studies <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Balas Ke: a.diduck@ucl.ac.uk Kepada: iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

im Halimatusa'diyah <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id></iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id>	
Kepada: a.diduck@ucl.ac.uk	

Dear Prof. Alison Diduck,

Thank you for your email and for giving me an opportunity to revise my paper.

I'm wondering is there any specific deadline for submission of my revised manuacript?

Best regards,

lim

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

lim Halimatusa'diyah <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id> Kepada: achmad_kholiq@yahoo.com, Achmad Kholiq <achmadkholiq67@yahoo.com>

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Kepada: iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id 26 Oktober 2021 23.08

26 Oktober 2021 23.29

26 Oktober 2021 23.29

26 Oktober 2021 23.30



Alamat tidak dapat ditemukan

Pesan Anda tidak terkirim ke **achmad_kholiq@yahoo.com** karena alamat tersebut tidak dapat ditemukan, atau tidak bisa menerima email.

Tanggapan dari server jarak jauh adalah:

552 3 Requested mail action aborted, mailbox not found

Final-Recipient: rfc822; achmad_kholiq@yahoo.com Action: failed Status: 5.0.0 Remote-MTA: dns; mta5.am0.yahoodns.net. (67.195.204.79, the server for the domain yahoo.com.) Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 552 3 Requested mail action aborted, mailbox not found Last-Attempt-Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2021 09:30:04 -0700 (PDT)

------ Pesan Yang Diteruskan ------From: "lim Halimatusa'diyah" <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id> To: achmad_kholiq@yahoo.com, Achmad Kholiq <achmadkholiq67@yahoo.com> Cc: Bcc: Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2021 23:29:49 +0700 Subject: Fwd: Social & Legal Studies - Decision on Manuscript ID SLS-21-0174 ----- Message truncated -----

Diduck, Alison <a.diduck@ucl.ac.uk> Kepada: lim Halimatusa'diyah <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id> 27 Oktober 2021 01.27

Dear lim,

We have no strict deadlines for resubmissions, but if you could get your revisions to us in the next couple of months, it would be great.

I know how busy everyone is, so if this isn't possible for you, just let me know.

All best wishes,

Alison

Professor of Law

a.diduck@ucl.ac.uk

UCL Faculty of Laws

Bentham House

4-8 Endsleigh Gardens

London

WC1H 0EG

Ph: 020 31088329

From: lim Halimatusa'diyah <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id>
Date: Tuesday, 26 October 2021 at 17:32
To: Alison Diduck <a.diduck@ucl.ac.uk>
Subject: Re: Social & Legal Studies - Decision on Manuscript ID SLS-21-0174

▲ Caution: External sender

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

lim Halimatusa'diyah <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id> Kepada: "Diduck, Alison" <a.diduck@ucl.ac.uk>

Dear Prof. Alison,

I'll try to submit the revision as soon as possible.

Once again, thank you for the opportunity.

Best regards,

lim [Kutipan teks disembunyikan] 27 Oktober 2021 07.15

Social & Legal Studies SLS-21-0174.R1

1 pesan

Social & Legal Studies <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Balas Ke: jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com Kepada: achmadkholiq67@yahoo.com, iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id

16-Jan-2022

Dear Dr. Halimatusa'diyah:

Your revised manuscript entitled "Does Gender Blindness Improve Gender Equality? Female Judges and the Glass Ceiling Effect in the Islamic Judiciary System in Indonesia" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in Social & Legal Studies.

Your manuscript ID is SLS-21-0174.R1.

You have listed the following individuals as authors of this manuscript: Kholiq, Achmad; Halimatusa'diyah, lim

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Center after logging in to https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls.

As part of our commitment to ensuring an ethical, transparent and fair peer review process SAGE is a supporting member of ORCID, the Open Researcher and Contributor ID (https://orcid.org/). We encourage all authors and co-authors to use ORCID iDs during the peer review process. If you have not already logged in to your account on this journal's ScholarOne Manuscripts submission site in order to update your account information and provide your ORCID identifier, we recommend that you do so at this time by logging in and editing your account information. In the event that your manuscript is accepted, only ORCID iDs during the Production steps. If you do not already have an ORCID iD you may login to your ScholarOne account to create your unique identifier and automatically add it to your profile.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Social & Legal Studies.

Sincerely, Jeanette McCormick Social & Legal Studies jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com

Social & Legal Studies - Decision on Manuscript ID SLS-21-0174.R1

4 pesan

Social & Legal Studies <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Balas Ke: jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com Kepada: iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id 19 Maret 2022 03.32

18-Mar-2022

Dear Dr. Halimatusa'diyah:

18-Mar-2022

Dear Dr. Halimatusa'diyah,

I write regarding your resubmitted manuscript ID SLS-21-0174.R1 entitled "Does Gender Blindness Improve Gender Equality? Female Judges and the Glass Ceiling Effect in the Islamic Judiciary System in Indonesia" which you submitted to Social & Legal Studies. I'm pleased to say that the reviewers have recommended publication, but they also suggest some further minor revisions to your manuscript before we can proceed to publication. Their comments are included at the bottom of this letter. Once we receive these revisions we will be able to proceed to publication.

In addition to their individual queries, both reviewers recommend further proof reading and tightening/tidying of language/syntax. Further, please can you also respond to Reviewer 1's concerns about the anonymity of the participants in the study? You may also wish to refer to the Feminist Judgments projects as Reviewer 2 suggests.

To revise your manuscript, log into https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to Social & Legal Studies, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for your submission to Social & Legal Studies. I look forward to receiving your revision and to publishing your manuscript.

Yours sincerely, Professor Alison Diduck Coordinating Editor, Social & Legal Studies

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The author has taken account of the suggestions made in my previous review. Subject to the points made below, I would recommend accepting the paper for publication.

The author has sought to anonymise the participants in the research by abbreviating to their initials. This is insufficient to anonymise the participants, particularly because the overall population from which the participants are drawn is limited in size. Prior to publication of the paper and/or any associated datasets, the participants should be assigned randomised numbers or letters, or pseudonyms.

The author has responded to my query about ethical approval for their project in their response to reviewers. This information should be included within the paper itself (in the Data and Method section either in the body of the text or as an endnote).

On p.2, the sentence starting 'Studies have shown...' appears to be missing a reference/s. Could the author add in the relevant references to those studies?

As indicated in the previous round of reviews, the paper would benefit from some further proof-reading / tidying up of the syntax as some parts of it do not quite scan (e.g. 'the judiciary system', p.2 and in the title).

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

This is a much improved revised version of an article previously submitted, examining the glass ceiling effect in Indonesia. I am very pleased to be able to support its publication in SLS. Just some very minor typos/phrasing to edit (eg the use of "It is" in "It is purposive sampling as we only targeted male and female judges in the Islamic judicial system. It is snowball sampling as..." is a bit clumsy);

no need for 'literature review' in subheading as it is not a review of all the literature in the field;

does "pseudonyms in the form of abbreviations" mean that a pseudonym was chosen and then abbreviated?;

at the bottom of p16/top of p17, how many judges are being referred to here?;

top of p18, should "ever" be "never? check the sense of this sentence;

check also the sense of the sentence after the interview quote on p18;

check the sense of the sentence p18 beginning "However, feminist judging";

rephrase on p20 "was okay with";

rephrase "he seemed reluctant of her plan" p21.

And one other issue - the article still does not mention the Feminist Judgments Projects which I think would be considered odd by SLS readers familiar with the topic of the importance of including female and feminist judges within judicial systems.

Social & Legal Studies <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Balas Ke: a.diduck@ucl.ac.uk Kepada: iim hs@uinjkt.ac.id

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

19 Maret 2022 03.32





Alamat tidak dapat ditemukan

Pesan Anda tidak terkirim ke **achmad_kholiq@yahoo.com** karena alamat tersebut tidak dapat ditemukan, atau tidak bisa menerima email.

Tanggapan dari server jarak jauh adalah:

552 3 Requested mail action aborted, mailbox not found

Final-Recipient: rfc822; achmad_kholiq@yahoo.com Action: failed Status: 5.0.0 Remote-MTA: dns; mta6.am0.yahoodns.net. (98.136.96.76, the server for the domain yahoo.com.) Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 552 3 Requested mail action aborted, mailbox not found Last-Attempt-Date: Fri, 18 Mar 2022 22:43:40 -0700 (PDT)

------ Pesan Yang Diteruskan ------From: "lim Halimatusa'diyah" <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id> To: achmad_kholiq@yahoo.com, Achmad Kholiq <achmadkholiq67@yahoo.com> Cc: Bcc: Date: Sat, 19 Mar 2022 12:43:24 +0700 Subject: Fwd: Social & Legal Studies - Decision on Manuscript ID SLS-21-0174.R1 ----- Message truncated -----

Social & Legal Studies SLS-21-0174.R2

1 pesan

Social & Legal Studies <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Balas Ke: jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com Kepada: achmadkholiq67@yahoo.com, iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id

20-Mar-2022

Dear Dr. Halimatusa'diyah:

Your revised manuscript entitled "Does Gender Blindness Improve Gender Equality? Female Judges and the Glass Ceiling Effect in the Islamic Judicial System in Indonesia" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in Social & Legal Studies.

Your manuscript ID is SLS-21-0174.R2.

You have listed the following individuals as authors of this manuscript: Kholiq, Achmad; Halimatusa'diyah, lim

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Center after logging in to https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls.

As part of our commitment to ensuring an ethical, transparent and fair peer review process SAGE is a supporting member of ORCID, the Open Researcher and Contributor ID (https://orcid.org/). We encourage all authors and co-authors to use ORCID iDs during the peer review process. If you have not already logged in to your account on this journal's ScholarOne Manuscripts submission site in order to update your account information and provide your ORCID iDs validated within your account prior to acceptance will be considered for publication alongside your name in the published paper as we cannot add ORCID iDs during the Production steps. If you do not already have an ORCID iD you may login to your ScholarOne account to create your unique identifier and automatically add it to your profile.

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Social & Legal Studies.

Sincerely, Jeanette McCormick Social & Legal Studies jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com

ACTION REQUIRED: Social & Legal Studies Contributor Form

1 pesan

Social & Legal Studies <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Balas Ke: jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com Kepada: iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id 29 Maret 2022 00.33

28-Mar-2022 Dear Dr. lim Halimatusa'diyah,

Your manuscript "Does Gender Blindness Improve Gender Equality? Female Judges and the Glass Ceiling Effect in the Islamic Judicial System in Indonesia" has been accepted for publication in Social & Legal Studies.

In order for SAGE to proceed with publication of your article, you must complete a Contributor Form. Under the agreement, you retain copyright to your work and grant an exclusive license to SAGE to publish the article. You also have the option to retain all rights, if you (probably through your employer) can pay an Article Processing Charge.

The Contributor Form also allows you rights to publish your article on open access on your website or your institution's repository, under certain conditions. The details are important, so please read them carefully.

You should review and complete the form online at the journal's SAGETRACK site. The following link will take you there directly.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sls?URL_MASK=3f2201d7a291481e8ea5e30d229fdd8e

Please note that without a completed agreement, we are unable to proceed with publication of your article.

If you have any questions please contact the Editorial Office.

With best wishes, Jeanette McCormick jeanette.mccormick1@gmail.com Social & Legal Studies Editorial Office 4 pesan

sls_sagepub@novatechset.com <sls_sagepub@novatechset.com>
Kepada: iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id
Cc: sls_sagepub@novatechset.com

	SLS1094153
Article Title:	Does Gender Blindness Improve Gender Equality? Female Judges and the Glass Ceiling Effect in the Islamic Judicial System in Indonesia
Manuscript DOI:	10.1177/09646639221094153
Journal:	Social & Legal Studies

Dear lim Halimatusa'diyah,

The proof of your article which is to be published in *Social & Legal Studies* is now available for your final review. Please download your proof using the link below:

https://sesame.novatechset.com/sesame2/EPTLink/index/5475

It is SAGE's aim to publish your work as quickly as possible. Could you therefore

review your proof carefully and submit your corrections or approval within the next 2 business days. This proof represents your final opportunity to review your article prior to its publication, so do please read through this information carefully.

Corrections should be made directly to the PDF using the Comment and Markup Tools in Adobe Acrobat or Reader (see guidelines on the first page of your proof). Please contact me if you are unable to use the Comment and Markup Tools. Please return your corrections by uploading the corrected file in the proofing system.

This proof has been lightly edited for grammar and style, and should be accurate in respect of the copy supplied.

Please read the proof for errors or missing elements. However, please do not rewrite or make other major changes affecting layout or the final extent.

Any colour figures have been incorporated for the online version only. Colour printing in the journal can be arranged for a charge. Please contact me for further details.

Online First publication.

We will publish the corrected, final article online (Online First) as soon as possible, paginated from p.1 as now. As soon as this happens you will be sent an email enabling you to access it.

Issue/print publication.

After publishing Online First we will include your article in an issue for publication online and print. These details are not yet fixed, but please note that <u>we will</u> <u>make no further updates</u> apart from adding volume, issue and page numbers. Therefore, please be sure to send all of your corrections now.

To receive notification of when your article and all new journal content is published, sign up to our Contents Alert service at http://online.sagepub.com/cgi/ alerts.

Finally, we hope you are pleased with your proof and thank you for your cooperation.

DOWNLOAD PROOF

Yours sincerely, Poornima Gajapathy *Production Editor* E-mail: als_sagepub@novalechsel.com www.sagepublishing.com

Disclaimer CIN NO : U30007KA1998PTC024374

This electronic mail transmission contains confidential information intended only for the person(s) named. Any use, distribution, copying or disclosure by any other person is strictly prohibited. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and then destroy the message. Opinions, conclusions, and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of NovaTechset (P) Ltd shall understood to be neither given nor endorsed by NovaTechset (P) Ltd. When addressed to NovaTechset (P) Ltd clients, any information contained in this e-mail is subject to the terms and conditions in the governing client contract.

NovaTechset (P) Ltd

sls_sagepub@novatechset.com <sls_sagepub@novatechset.com>
Kepada: iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id
Cc: sls_sagepub@novatechset.com

Dear Author,

This email confirms that you have submitted corrections to your proofs via the SAGE Publications online proofing system.

If any of this information is incorrect, please contact the PE/PM: Poornima Gajapathy, Email: sls_sagepub@novatechset.com.

Kind Regards, Poornima Gajapathy sls_sagepub@novatechset.com [Kutipan teks disembunyikan] 5 April 2022 09.35

Dear Poornima Gajapathy,

Thank you for your confirmation. Please let me know if there is any further edit that I have to make.

Best,

lim [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

SLS <sls_sagepub@novatechset.com> Kepada: lim Halimatusa'diyah <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id> Cc: SLS <sls_sagepub@novatechset.com>

Dear lim,

Thank you for reviewing your proof and responding.

We will contact you if we have any further queries.

Many thanks,

Poornima Gajapathy Production Editor

SAGE Publications www.sagepublishing.com

From: lim Halimatusa'diyah <iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id> Sent: 05 April 2022 08:16 To: SLS <sls_sagepub@novatechset.com> Subject: Re: Page proofs for Journal of Social & Legal Studies - SLS1094153

CAUTION - External Email: This email originated from outside the organization.

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

5 April 2022 20.05

Your article is now published online

1 pesan

SAGE Journals <noreply@sagepub.com> Balas Ke: noreply@sagepub.com Kepada: iim_hs@uinjkt.ac.id Cc: sage.eprints@sagepub.com 13 April 2022 14.36

Dear lim Halimatusa'diyah,

Thank you for publishing your article with SAGE Publishing and *Social & Legal Studies*. Your article "Does Gender Blindness Improve Gender Equality? Female Judges and the Glass Ceiling Effect in the Islamic Judicial System in Indonesia" is now published and your complimentary e-copy is available at

The above link and final published PDF are only for your personal use and non-profit teaching purposes and should not be posted online or otherwise distributed. If you wish to post your article on your personal website or institutional repository, you may use the accepted version. Please see the SAGE author sharing guidelines, linked below, for full details about appropriate use and version definitions.

The permanent link for your article is https://doi.org/10.1177/09646639221094153

Please also visit our online resources for more information:

- SAGE author sharing guidelines,
- Promoting your article,
- Post-publication corrections,
- Author gateway.

Thank you again for publishing with SAGE and *Social & Legal Studies*. We value your feedback and encourage you to complete the brief Journal Author Survey you will receive shortly.

Best wishes, SAGE Journals Author Services authorproductionqueries@sagepub.com

Note: Please do not reply to this message, as replies are routed to an unmonitored mailbox. If you experience difficulty with the provided links, please check that the full link transferred to your browser without any line breaks or errant characters such as < or >. You may receive this message twice, once for your article's initial OnlineFirst publication and a second time for your article's issue publication. Please direct any questions to <u>authorproductionqueries@sagepub.com</u>, noting the article title and journal title.

You are the only recipient of this email because you are the corresponding author. Please share this email with your co-authors.